



“Gheorghe Asachi” Technical University of Iasi, Romania



# ANALYTICAL METHODS COMPARISON FOR PH DETERMINATION OF COMPOSTING PROCESS FROM GREEN WASTES

**Arthur Couto Neves<sup>1\*</sup>, Priscila da Costa<sup>2</sup>, Claudia Aparecida de Oliveira e Silva<sup>2</sup>, Flavio Rodrigues Pereira<sup>2</sup>, Marcos Paulo Gomes Mol**

<sup>1</sup>Research Department, Ezequiel Dias Foundation (FUNED), Belo Horizonte, Brazil  
<sup>2</sup>Instituto Octávio Magalhães Directory, Ezequiel Dias Foundation (FUNED), Belo Horizonte, Brazil

## Abstract

Composting is an accelerated microbiological decomposition of organic waste, which the monitored parameters, as pH, temperature, and moisture, are necessary to an adequate control. pH can be a fundamental indicator of the degree of degradation, however, there are few specific analytical methods of its determination in samples originated from composting and, therefore, can difficult data interpretation when compared with the literature. With the aim of evaluate extractant solutions (CaCl2 0.01 mol.L<sup>-1</sup> and KCl 1 mol.L<sup>-1</sup>) and the universal solvent (water) used for pH determination, a comparison of four analytical methods was performed in samples originated from composting of leaf and garden waste. A descriptive statistical analysis, normality tests (Shapiro-Wilk), comparison of medians (Kruskal-Wallis with post-hoc by Nemenyi) and linear regressions with robust variance were performed (software R 3.4.2). Statistical analysis showed significant differences, suggesting CaCl<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O methods tend to be more indicated when is desired to apply the final compound directly on the soil. KCl tends to be the solution with the greatest extraction capacity of H<sup>+</sup> ions. Therefore, the waste characteristics and the purpose of the monitoring parameters must be evaluated for the determination of the appropriated pH methodology.

*Keywords:* green waste, leaf and garden waste, microbiological degradation, organic waste, pH analytical methodologies

*Received:* March, 2020; *Revised final:* June, 2020; *Accepted:* September, 2020

\* Authors to whom all correspondence should be addressed: e-mail: [coutoarthur@gmail.com](mailto:coutoarthur@gmail.com); Phone: +55 31 9 9866 8587