Environmental Engineering and Management Journal

May 2018, Vol.17, No. 5, 1199-1208 http://www.eemj.icpm.tuiasi.ro/; http://www.eemj.eu



"Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of Iasi, Romania



## THERMODYNAMICS, KINETICS AND EQUILIBRIUM STUDIES OF URANIUM SORPTION BY *Gracilaria corticata* RED ALGA

## Reza Dabbagh<sup>1</sup>, Abolfazl Rojaee<sup>2\*</sup>, Zoheir Heshmatipour<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nuclear Science Technology and Research Institute (NSTRI), MNFRS, North Karegar St., Tehran, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Tonekabon Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tonekabon, Iran

## Abstract

In this research, the capability of uranium biosorption by dried biomass of the red algae was investigated in a batch system. For the screening of the most appropriate biosorbent, they were placed in 0.5 mmol/L uranium solution with different pH for 3hrs of contact times. The obtained results showed that the species of the *Gracilaria corticata (J. Agardh)* are having the maximum rate of the biosorption. Sorption equilibrium was reached within 3hrs of contact time (at pH 4.5), and the results of the kinetic studies showed that the sorption of uranium followed pseudo-second order kinetic model ( $R^2$ =0.999). The maximum uranium biosorption capacity ( $q_{max}$ ) by *Gracilaria corticata* alga was 200 mg/g. The biosorption of uranium was found to be an endothermic process with  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  of +0.260 kJ/mol. The values of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  (293K) and  $\Delta S^{\circ}$  obtained were -0.504 kJ/mol and +1.083 J/mol.K, respectively. The feasibility and spontaneous nature of the sorption process was elucidated by these values. Freundlich isotherm constants,  $K_F$  and n, were 0.72 and 0.94, respectively ( $R^2$ =0.988).

Key words: biosorption, Gracilaria corticata, kinetics, thermodynamics, uranium

Received: August, 2013; Revised final: August, 2014; Accepted: September, 2014; Published in final edited form: May, 2018

## 1. Introduction

Of the most important and dangerous environmental pollutants, radionuclides and heavy metals available in the industrial wastes are aong the most studied (Marandi et al., 2010; Vijayaraghvan et al., 2006). The industrial processes are like mining, battery makings, electroplating, metallurgy, military plants etc. (Cruz et al., 2004; Dabbagh et al., 2007; Kaewsarn and Yu, 2001). Uranium is a silvery-white metallic chemical element in the actinide series of the periodic Table, with atomic number 92. This element occurs in nature in many rocks, minerals and sediments. The principal uranium minerals are uranitite, torbernite, pitchblende, carnotite, uranophane. Uranium is one of the radionuclides which affect the environment and human health, during its extraction from mines, processing and use

and this is because its long-term stability, since it can remain up to million years in the environment (Khani et al., 2006; Volesky, 1990). Therefore, uranium can lead to acute and chronic pollution effects in plants, humans and animals (Ahalya et al., 2008; Volesky, 2003; Williams, 1998).

On the other hand, numerous compounds which include heavy metals can be dissolved easily in water at different concentrations, while metal removal from the aquatic environment is a hard and difficult task (Davis et al., 2000; Davis and Volesky, 2005). Various physico-chemical methods, including ion exchange, reverse osmosis, precipitation, oxidoreduction and others were developed for heavy metal removal from aqueous solutions (Ghasemi et al., 2011; Gonzalez et al., 1997; Sadeghi et al., 2017; Volesky, 2001). However, these methods are sometimes inefficient in the high volumes and are accompanied

<sup>\*</sup> Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed: e-mail: a.rojaee@yahoo.com; rdabbagh@aeoi.org.ir; P.O. Box: 11365-8486; Fax: 0098-21-88221116

by high costs. Therefore, biological methods are used today, regarded as a part of the acceptable methods in the whole world, and they are used to recycle the industrial wastes (Balan et al., 2017; Barros et al., 2018; Chen and Yang; 2005; Gavrilescu, 2005). Biosorptive accumulation of uranium and other radionuclides is of recent interest for the development of algal based bioremediation strategies to clean up contaminated sites.

Biosorption has some advantages, including low operational costs, lack of production of the chemical sludge, reusability of the sorbent, its effectiveness in reduction of heavy metals concentration at very low quantities, selectivity and usage of the cheap and available sorbents. Biosorption of metal with the aid of the microorganisms can be carried out by the biosorption and bioaccumulation methods. However, in the conducted studies and surveys, it was specified that the biosorption is of the higher efficiency and output (Hlihor et al., 2005; Kapoor and Viraraghvan, 1995; Leusch et al., 1995; Raize et al., 2004).

Many of biosorbents, such as algae, yeasts, fungi and bacteria are able to adsorb a large spectrum of the metals. This action attributed to the functional groups such as carboxylate, sulfate, sulfonic acids and other electrostatic attraction to negatively charged functional groups existing in their cell walls. In the cell wall of the algae, there are, excepting cellulose, materials such as alginic acid and fucoidan which play a key role in the biosorption of heavy metal ions. Carboxylate groups are the most plentiful groups available in fucoidan, which correlates directly with the capacity of the biosorption (Lodeiro et al., 2005; Raff et al., 2003). Also, due to availability, cheapness, environmental friendiness and renewability of algae, they are consideerd as a potential candidate for pollution removal by biosorption.

In the current research, out of the seven species of the red algae collected from the coasts of the Oman Sea, the species of *Gracilaria corticata* red alga was found very effective in heavy metals biosorption. The effect of various parameters on the biosorption rate was investigated.

## 2. Experimental

## 2.1. Preparation of algae

*Gracilaria corticata (J. Agardh)*, a local red alga obtained from Oman Sea on the coast of Chabahar, Iran was selected out of seven species collected. The biosorbent was extensively washed by water, dried in the sunlight, and supplementary washed by deionized water in laboratory, dried again and then it was ground in a laboratory blender and sorted by sieving (RETSCH AS-200 models) in the sizes of 250 to 500 micrometer.

## 2.2. Chemicals

All the used solutions in this study were prepared from laboratory pure reagents. A stock

solution for  $^{238}$ U of 120 mg/L or 0.5 mmol/L concentration was prepared using analytical grade salt of UO<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O (Merck Co.). For preparation, a specified quantity of the salt was dissolved in deionized water. pH of the solutions was adjusted using the HCl 0.1M and NaOH 0.1M (Merck Co.).

## 2.3. Experimental conditions

Batchwise adsorption tests were carried out to evaluate the effect of some parameters on adsorption of uranium ions on this adsorbent. After biosorption in different conditions, algal biomass was filtered through Whatman filter paper, and the supernatant was used for metal analysis by an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrophotometer (ICP-AES, Varian, model liberty 150 AX turbo). In the batch experiments, Erlenmeyer flasks with volume of 100 mL containing 30 mL of solution with different uranium concentrations were used.

All tests were duplicated, and control samples were used. Different pH of solutions were used: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7, while the initial concentration of uranium was of 7.5, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, 238 and 476 mg uranium/L respectively. The samples were shaken during 15, 30, 60, 90, 180, 360, 960, and 1440 min to study the effect of contact time on the sorption capacity and to determine optimal contact time. The effect of biomass dose on the adsorption capacity of *G. corticata* was analyzed using 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 16 g dried alga/L, respectively. All experiments were performed at the room temperature and Erlenmeyer flasks were agitated on a rotatory shaker at 150 rpm.

2.4. A survey of the results and modeling of the biosorption

The amount of uranium ions adsorbed per unit of unloaded sorbent was obtained by using the (Eq. 1) (Volesky, 1990):

$$q = \frac{v(Ci - Ce)}{m} \tag{1}$$

where: q is the amount of uranium adsorbed onto the unit mass of the adsorbent (mg/g),  $C_i$  is the concentration of the metal ions before biosorption (mg/L),  $C_e$  is the concentration of the metal ions after biosorption (mg/L), v is the volume of the aqueous phase (L), m is the amount of the adsorbent dry weight(g).

## 2.5. Biosorption isotherms

Data obtained from the test were fitted with Langmuir and Freundlich models, which describe the performance of adsorption species between liquid and solid phases. Langmuir. Both models were developed for a single-layer adsorption, but the Freundlich isotherm provides no information on the monolayer adsorption capacity directly in contrast to the Langmuir model.

#### 2.5.1. Langmuir model

According to Langmuir isotherm, the sorbent surface encompasses a limited number of adsorption sites, while monolayer adsorption is assumed with no movement of adsorbate in the plane surface. No further sorption can take place at that site once it was filled, so that the surface reaches a saturation point where the maximum adsorption of the surface will be achieved (Aslam et al., 2016; Desta, 2013). This model gives information on the maximum rate of biosorption. The Langmuir model is given by Eq. (2) (Qasim et al., 2018; Volesky, 1990):

$$\frac{X}{M} = \frac{abC}{1+bC} \tag{2}$$

where: *C* is the residual (equilibrium) metal ion concentration left in solution after binding, X/M is the mass of adsorbate adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent (mg adsorbate/g), *b* is the constant related to the affinity between the biosorbent and sorbate or sorption intensity (L/mg), *a* is the maximum mass of adsorbate adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent (mg/g).

The Langmuir model is usually used in linear form as given by (Eq. 3) (Kumar et al., 2010; Qasim et al., 2018; Volesky, 1990):

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_{max}bCe} + \frac{1}{q_{max}} \tag{3}$$

## 2.5.2. Freundlich model

The Freundlich model is an empirical one, which assumes a heterogeneous surface available for biosorption. In this model, it is presumed that the most powerful binding sites are filled, firstly, and the rate of the biosorption decreases through increasing the filling degree of sorption sites. The empirical Freundlich equation which describes sorption on a heterogeneous surface is given by Eq. (4), or, in linear form by Eq. (5) (Babalola et al., 2016; Cruz et al., 2004; Kumar et al., 2010; Rybczynska-Tkaczyk and Korniłłowicz-Kowalska, 2016; Volesky, 1990):

$$q_{e=}K_F C_e^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{4}$$

$$\log q_e = \log K_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e \tag{5}$$

where:  $q_e$  is the mass of the sorbed metal per the biomass weight (mg/g),  $C_e$  is the concentration of the metal in solution in the balanced state,  $K_F$  is Freundlich constant which shows the sorption capacity, *n* is the Freundlich constant which shows the sorption intensity of the sorbent.

## 2.6. Modeling the kinetic of biosorption

There are several models which describe the kinetic of biosorption in discontinuous process, the most applied being the pseudo-first order kinetic model and the pseudo-second order kinetic model.

#### 2.6.1. Pseudo-first order kinetic model

In this model (Eq. 6), it is supposed that the rate of binding sites occupation is proportional with the number of not-occupied sites (Cruz et al., 2004; Saygideger et al., 2005):

$$ln(qe-qt) = ln qe - k_1 t \tag{6}$$

where:  $q_t$  is the quantity of biosorption in the defined time (mg/g),  $q_e$  is the quantity of biosorption in the time of balancing (mg/g),  $k_1$  is the balancing constant of the pseudo-first order reaction.

In its linearized form,  $\ln(q_e - q_l)$  is drawn vs. *t*, and correlation coefficient will be specified.

#### 2.6.2. Pseudo-second order kinetic model

In this model (Eq. 7), it is supposed that the rate of binding sites occupation and the rate of sorption are proportional with the square root of the number of notfilled sites (Cruz et al., 2004; Ho, 2006; Saygideger et al., 2005).

$$\frac{t}{qt} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{qe} \tag{7}$$

where:  $k_2$  is the balancing constant of the Pseudosecond order reaction.

In its linearized form,  $t/q_e$  is plotted vs. t.

## 2.7. Thermodynamic parameters

Uranium biosorption studies were carried out at three temperatures: 293, 308 and 323 K to determine some thermodynamic parameters, such as enthalpy change ( $\Delta H^{\circ}$ ), entropy change ( $\Delta S^{\circ}$ ) and Gibbs-free energy change ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ) for the system and elucidate sorption mechanism (Aksu, 2002).

The Gibbs-free energy change of the biosorption phenomenon is related to the equilibrium constant by the classic Van't Hoff equation (Eq. 8):

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln K_c^{\circ} \tag{8}$$

where, *R* is the ideal gas constant having the value as 8.314 J·mol<sup>-1</sup>, *T* is the temperature in K, and  $K_c^{\circ}$  is the standard thermodynamic equilibrium constant.

The thermodynamic equilibrium constant,  $K_c$  was determined using Eq. (9):

$$K_c = \frac{C_a}{C_e} \tag{9}$$

where  $C_a$  is mg of adsorbent adsorbed per liter, and  $C_e$  is equilibrium concentration of solution, mg/L (Sarin et al., 2006).

## 2.8. Fourier Transform Infrared analysis

To determine the type of algal functional groups and biomass characterization FTIR analysis method was used. Dried *G. corticata* samples used before and after uranium removal under the optimal conditions was analyzed. This was done by mixing approximately 1 mg dried sample of metal unloaded, and metal loaded *G. corticata* biomass with 5 mg KBr. The mixture was ground to fine powder and pressed under vacuum into pellets. The samples were analyzed in the range 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> wave number using a Bruker spectrophotometer (model Vector 22).

## 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Screening of the best biosorbent

Seven species of the red algae which have been isolated from the coasts of the Oman Sea in collaboration with the Chabahar Fishery Office are as following: *Botriocladi leptopoda, Gracilaria arcuta zanardini, Gracilaria corticata, Hypnea valentiae, Melanothamnus somalensis, Sarconema filliform, Scinaia carnosa.* 

In previous researches, it was specified that pH is one effective factor in the rate of biosorption by the algae and the pH of 2; 4 and 6 were found as efficient pH (Abou-El-Souod and El-Sheekh, 2016; Khani et al., 2006). Also, in most of our experimental studies, the maximum biosorption was found for contact time values of 2 to 4 hours and shaking rate of 150 rpm. Similar conditions were reported by other authors, as well (Raff et al., 2003; Saygideger et al., 2005; Volesky, 1990).

To select the best biosorbent we contacted algae biomasses with 0.5 mmol/L uranium solution for 3hr. The suspensions were agitated on a rotatory shaker at 150 rpm, 25°C, and at pH values of 2, 4 and 6, respectively. After the contacting time was over, the maximum rate of biosorption among the species was observed at pH of 4 for *G. corticata* alga (Fig. 1). Therefore, this alga was selected for further biosorption studies study and analysis of the influence of various parameters such as contact time, pH, different biomass values and uranium concentration on the process performance.

#### 3.2. pH effect on uranium biosorption

pH is one of the most effective factors in heavy metals and nuclides biosorption (Chung et al., 2014; Gavrilescu et al., 2009). The metal ion binding in biosorption could be attributed to several mechanisms such as, complexation, ion exchange and micro precipitation. For algae biomass, ion exchange has been considered as the main mechanism responsible for metal sequestering (Bulgariu et al., 2013; Khani et al., 2006). The main mechanism of uranium biosorption is the ionic exchange and the reactions of exchanging the hydrolyzed ions (of  $UO_2^{2+}$ ) are as following:

$$UO_2^{+2} + H_2O \longrightarrow UO_2OH + H^+$$
(10)

$$2UO_{2}^{+} + 2H_{2}O \longrightarrow (UO_{2})_{2}(OH)_{2}^{+2} + 2H^{+}$$
(11)

$$3UO_2^{+2} + 5H_2O \longrightarrow (UO_2)_3(OH)_5 + 5H^+$$
(12)

Active binding sites are affected by pH severely. If they are of the weak acidic or basic type, they are influenced by pH harshly since a competition is to be created between the H<sup>+</sup> ion and metal ions in the low quantities in order to connect to the sites. However, in this competition, the concentration of the H<sup>+</sup> ions overcomes the metal ions and occupy earlier the binding sites. On the other hand, at pH<2, uranium appears in form of anionic compounds, which cannot be replaced with the proton of the functional groups available in the surface of algae. At low pH, some binding sites are not available to the divalent UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> ion; therefore, the rate of the metal biosorption decreased.

However, due to the increase of pH, the competitive effect of the positive ions decreases and the action of binding the metal ion to the binding sites takes place better, but declined with a further increase in pH, when uranium appears in the solution as precipitates.



Fig. 1. The capacity of uranium biosorption at the screening stage by the various species of red algae (contact time: 3hrs; biomass:1g/L; U: 0.5 mmol/L)

As seen in Fig. 2, in the higher pH, the rate of the uranium biosorption decreases. At lower pH, the possibility of the biosorption for uranium ions and the process of the biosorption decreased from the reasons mentioned earlier. So, the maximum biosorption was found at pH 4-5, which was selected as optimum pH (Fig. 2).

#### 3.3. Effect of biomass dose on uranium biosorption

Among the factors affecting the rate of biosorption by *G. corticata* alga, the dose of biomass was found as relevant in our experiments. Tests were carried out with various doses of dry algae biomass (0.5, 2, 4, 8 and 16g/L).

As shown in Fig. 3, by increasing biomass value up to 2g/L, the rate of the biosorption increased due to the increasing of the binding sites, but by the excessive increase of the quantity of biomass, the action of the biosorption doesn't perform well and became almost constant due to the disturbance in filling of the binding sites (Kapoor and Viraraghvan, 1995; Marandi et al., 2007, 2010; Raize et al., 2004).

Therefore, sorption capacity does not increased noticeably at biomass doses over 2 g/L.

# 3.4. Effect of initial uranium concentration on biosorption

The influence of initial concentration of the metal ions in the tested solution was found also relevant for uranium biosorption, in particular by G. corticata alga (Dabbagh et al., 2008; Khani et al., 2006; Saygideger et al., 2005; Volesky, 1990; Williams, 1998). The difference of the concentration; between the substance bound to the sorbent, and the substance remained in the solution represent the driving force (potential) of biosorption. Therefore, generating and maintaining this potential for mass transfer, the rate of process could be improved. This action is performed up to a certain limit as a result of increasing metal concentration on the biosorbent surface. Therefore, even though the metal concentration in solution is increased, a noticeable enhancing in the biosorption rate is not observed any more due to the saturation of the biomass surface.



Fig. 2. Effect of pH on the uranium biosorption by G. corticata alga (contact time: 3hrs; biomass: 1g/L; U: 0.5 mmol/L; 150rpm)



**Fig. 3.** Effect of the biomass concentration on the uranium biosorption by *G. corticata* alga (pH: 4.5; contact time: 3hrs; U: 0.5 mmol/L)

At low concentrations, sorption sites took up the available metal rapidly. However, at higher concentrations, the metal should diffuse to the biomass surface by intra particle diffusion mechanism. Experiments using aqueous solutions with various concentrations of the uranium (7.5, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, 238 and 470 mg/L) showed that the maximum rate of biosorption has been observed at the concentration of 238mg/L.

### 3.5. Effect of contact time on metal removal

Results showed that the optimum agitation time was approximately 180 minutes. In many cases adsorption occurs during the initial 30 to 60 minutes. Increasing the contact time after 180 minutes doesn't have a significant effect on the biosorption capacity, and it is not economically (Fig. 4).

## 3.6. Biosorption isotherms

The Langmuir and Freundlich model parameters with the correlation coefficients  $(R^2)$  for the biosorption of uranium on the selected biosorbent are shown in Table 1. The regression correlation coefficients  $(R^2=0.978)$  for the Langmuir model show

that the adsorption process of uranium by *G. corticata* alga is well defined by this model. As it has been shown in Table 1, the maximum adsorption capacity  $(q_{max})$  for uranium by the *G. corticata* alga is estimated at 200 mg/g. However, the results from modeling by Freundlich isotherm showed that the equilibrium data fitted very well to this model ( $R^2$ =0.998).

#### 3.7. Biosorption mechanism

Infrared spectra of G. corticata biomass samples before, and after uranium bindings at pH 4.5 are shown in Fig. 5. The difference between the two spectra was seen in the absorbance wave number and intensities. The saturated biomass displayed new absorbance bands in 1635 and 1437cm<sup>-1</sup>. These bands showed weak and strong stretching of the carbonoxygen bonds in the carboxyl groups (Lodeiro 2006; Yang, 2008). Therefore, it results that UO2<sup>2+</sup> ions could be bound to the (-C-O) groups and create the structure similar to that from Fig. 6 (Ghasemi et al., 2010; Volesky, 2001, 2003; Williams, 1998). The bands at 1119 cm<sup>-1</sup> were due to the -C-O stretching of ether. Also, a broad region around 3451 and 2930 cm<sup>-1</sup> could be related to the stretching bond of -OH and -CH groups (Yang, 2008).



**Fig. 4.** Effect of the contact time on the uranium biosorption by *G. corticata* alga (pH: 4.5; biomass:1g/L; U: 0.5 mmol/L)



Fig. 5. FTIR spectrum of the natural biomass (a) and the biomass after uranium biosorption (b)



**Fig. 6.**  $UO_2^{2+}$  ions binding to the (-C-O) groups

## 3.8. Modeling biosorption kinetics

The study of biosorption kinetics was performed based on the results addressing the variation of metal concentration in time. Biosorption kinetics give valuable information on biosorption mechanism. We have applied the two kinetic models: pseudo first order kinetic model and pseudo second order kinetic model. The resulted kinetic parameters are shown in Table 2 and Figs. 7 and 8.

Sorption equilibrium was fulfilled up to 3hrs in this experiment. The kinetic studies demonstrated that

a pseudo-second-order kinetic model fitted the experimental data very well ( $R^2=0.999$ ).

## 3.9. Thermodynamic studies

To assess the effect of temperature on biosorption performance. experiments were conducted at temperatures of 293, 308 and 323 K (20, 35 and 50°C). The values of enthalpy and entropy changes were calculated from the slope and intercept of the plot lnKc versus 1/T (Fig. 9). In this experiment the values of  $\Delta G^0$  were -0.504, -0.410 and -0.277 kJ/mol at temperatures of 293, 308 and 323K, respectively (Table 3). The values of enthalpy ( $\Delta H^{\circ}$ ) and entropy changes  $(\Delta S^{\circ})$  were found out to be +0.260 KJ/mol and +1.083 J/mol.K respectively. The negative value of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  confirms the feasibility of the process and the spontaneous nature of uranium biosorption. The positive values of  $\Delta H^0$  for uranium removal showed the endothermic nature and irreversible character of the process. The positive value of  $\Delta S^{\circ}$  suggests a high degree of disorder at the solid-solution interface during the adsorption process. The decrease in entropy, S°, shows that the sorbed ions are stable on the solid surface.

Table 1. Freundlich and Langmuir isotherm parameters obtained for biosorption of uranium by G. corticata

A da onh orat	Freundlich isotherm			Langmuir isotherm		
Aasorbeni	$K_F$	п	$R^2$	$q_{max} (mg/g)$	b	$R^2$
Gracillaria corticata	0.72	0.94	0.988	200	0.0051	0.978

Table 2. Comparison between adsorption parameters of Lagergren pseudo-first-order model and pseudo-second-order kinetic model applied for biosorption of uranium by *G. corticata*

Pseudo first order kinetic model			Pseudo second order kinetic model				
T: K; (°C)	$K_1(min^{-1})$	$q_e(mg/g)$	$R^2$	$K_2(g/mg min)$	$q_e(mg/g)$	$R^2$	$q_{exp}(mg/g)$
293; (20)	0.008	22.39	0.861	0.062	66.67	0.999	66.19
308; (35)	0.15	26.36	0.914	0.080	71.43	0.999	64.77
323; (50)	0.007	27.16	0.941	0.107	62.5	0.998	63.07



Fig. 7. Pseudo-first order kinetic modeling of uranium biosorption by G. corticata alga



Fig. 8. Pseudo-second order kinetic modeling of uranium biosorption by G. corticata

Table 3. Thermodynamic parameters for adsorption of uranium by G. corticata

T/ K	$\Delta G^0/$ KJ/mol	$\Delta H^0/KJ/mol$	$\Delta S^0 / J/mol K$
293	-0.504	+0.260	+1.083
308	-0.410		
323	-0.277		



Fig. 9. Plot of  $\ln K_c$  versus 1/T for the sorption of uranium by G. corticata

#### 4. Conclusions

The obtained results demonstrate the effectiveness of the *G. corticata* red alga in the biosorption of uranium. Experimental and maximum adsorption capacities (Langmuir isotherm) were 66.19 mg/g (approx. 53% removal; 1g/L biomass) and 200mg/g respectively. The appropriate pH for uranium biosorption was 4.5, and the maximum capacity of biosorption in this study occurred at 238 mg/L uranium concentration.

Results showed that the uranium biosorption by the *G. corticata* biomass was found to follow pseudo-second order kinetics ( $R^2$ =0.999) and the adsorption equilibrium data fitted very well to the Freundlich model. This plentiful biosorbent is introduced as a cost-effective candidate to sorption and separation of uranium.

#### References

- Abou-El-Souod G.W., El-Sheekh M.M., (2016), Biodegradation of basic fuchsin and methyl red by the blue green algae *Hydrocoleum oligotrichum* and Oscillatoria limnetica, Environmental Engineering and Management Journal, 15, 279-286.
- Ahalya N., Ramachandra V.T., Kanamadi D.R., (2008), Biosorption of heavy metals, *Journal of Chemistry and Environment*, 7, 1-8.
- Aslam M., Arulazhagan P., Rais S., Alam M., (2016), Adsorption of Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions from aqueous solution onto phyllanthus debilis: Kinetics & equilibrium studies, *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, **15**, 1581-1591.
- Aksu Z., (2002), Determination of the equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of the batch biosorption of nickel (II) ions onto *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Process Biochemistry*, **38**, 89-99.

- Babalola J.O., Omorogie M.O., Babarinde A.A., Unuabonah E.I., Oninla V.O., (2016), Optimization of the biosorption of Cr<sup>3+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup> and Pb<sup>2+</sup> using a new biowaste: Zea mays seed chaff, *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, **15**, 1571-1580.
- Balan C., Robu B., Bulai P., Bilba D., (2017), Modeling equilibrium data for Cd (II) adsorption by peat using non-linear regression analysis, *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, 16, 569-580.
- Barros A., da Silva M., Kleinübing S., (2018), Evaluation of copper and lead biosorption on modified *Azolla pinnata* (R. Br.), *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, **17**, 83-94.
- Bulgariu L., Lupea M., Bulgariu D., Rusu C., Macoveanu M., (2013), Equilibrium study of Pb(II) and Cd(II) biosorption from aqueous solution on marine green algae biomass, *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, **12**, 183-190.
- Chen J., Yang L., (2005), Chemical modification of Sargassum sp. for prevention of organic leaching and enhancement of uptake during metal biosorption, Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research, 44, 9931-9942.
- Chung A.P., Sousa T., Pereira A., Morais P.V., (2014), Microorganisms - tools for bioremediation of uranium contaminated environments, *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science*, **8**, 53-58.
- Cruz C.C.V., Costa A.C.A., Henriques C.A., (2004), Kinetic Modeling and equilibrium studies during cadmium biosorption by dead *Sargassum* sp. biomass, *Bioresource Technology*, 91, 249-257.
- Dabbagh R., Ebrahimi M., Aflaki F., Ghafourian H., Sahafipour M.H., (2008), Biosorption of stable cesium by chemically modified biomass of *Sargassum Glausescens* and *Cystoseria indica* in a continuous flow system, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **159**, 354-357.
- Dabbagh R., Ghafurian H., NabiR., Riahi H., (2007), Biosorption of stable Strontium-90 using *Cystoseira indica* brown algae in the environmental pollution removal, *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 33, 1-8.
- Davis A.T., Volesky B., Vieria F.S.H.R, (2000), Sargassum seaweed as biosorbent for heavy metals, Water Research, 34, 4270-4278.
- Davis A.T., Volesky B., (2005), Biosorption of La, Eu and Yb using Sargassum biomass, Water Research, 39, 239-247.
- Desta M.B., (2013), Batch sorption experiments: Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm studies for the adsorption of textile metal ions onto teff straw (Eragrostis tef) agricultural waste, *Journal of Thermodynamics*, Article ID 375830, http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/375830.
- Gavrilescu M., (2004), Removal of heavy metals from the environment by biosorption, *Engineering in Life Sciences*, **4**, 219-232.
- Gavrilescu M., Pavel L.V., Cretescu I., (2009), Characterization and remediation of soils contaminated with uranium, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 163, 475-510.
- Ghasemi M., Keshtkar A.R., Dabbagh R., Jaber Safdari S., (2011), Biosorption of uranium from aqueous solutions by Ca-pretreated *Cystoseria indica* algae: Breakthrough curves studies and modeling, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **189**, 141-149.
- Ghasemi M., Keshtkar A.R., Dabbagh R., Jaber Safdari S., (2010), Biosorption of uranium in a continuous flow packed bed column using *Cystoseira indica* biomass, *Iran Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering*, 8, 65-74.

- Gonzalez M.T., Merrun M.L., Ben Omar N., Arias J.M., (1997), Biosorption of uranium by Myxococcus xanthus, International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation, 40, 107-114.
- Hlihor R.M., Diaconu M., Leon F., Curteanu S., Tavares T., Gavrilescu M., (2015), Experimental analysis and mathematical prediction of Cd(II) removal by biosorption using support vector machines and genetic algorithms, *New Biotechnology*, **32**, 358-368.
- Ho Y.S., (2006), Second-order kinetic model for the sorption of cadmium onto tree fern: a comparison of linear and non-linear methods, *Water Research*, 40, 119-125.
- Kaewsarn P., Yu Q., (2001), Cadmium removal from aqueous solutions by pretreated biomass of marine algae Padina sp., Environmental Pollution, 112, 209-213.
- Kapoor A., Viraraghvan T., (1995), Fungal biosorption-an alternative treatment option for heavy metals bearing waste waters: a review, *Bioresource Technology*, 53, 195-206.
- Khani M.H., Keshtkar A.R., Meysami B., Firuz zare M., Jalali R., (2006), Biosorption of uranium from aqueous solutions by nonliving biomass of marine algae *Cystoseira indica*, *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 9, 1-7.
- Kumar S.P., Ramakrishnan K., Gayathri R., (2010), Removal of nickel(II) from aqueous solutions by ceralite IR-120 cationic exchange resins, *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 5, 232-243.
- Leusch A., Holan Z.R., Volesky B., (1995), Biosorption of heavy metals (Cd, Cu, NI, Pb, Zn) by chemically reinforced biomass of marine algae, *Chemistry Technology* and Biotechnology, **62**, 279-288.
- Lodeiro P., Cordero B., Barriada J.L., Herrero R., Sastre de Vience M.E., (2005), Biosorption of cadmium by biomass of brown micro algae, *Bioresource Technology*, 96, 1796-1803.
- Lodeiro P., Barriada J.L., Herrero R., Sastre de Vincente M.E., (2006), The marine macroalga *Cystoseira baccata* as biosorbent for cadmium(II) and lead(II) removal: kinetic and equilibrium studies, *Environmental Pollution*, **142**, 264-273.
- Marandi R., Doulati F., Amir Afshar H., (2010), Biosorption of Lead and Zinc ions by pre-treated biomass of *Phanerochaete chrysosporiom*, International Journal of Mining & Environmental Issues, 1, 198-205.
- Qasim, S. R., Zhu, G., (2018), Wastewater Treatment and Reuse, Theory and Design Examples, Principles and Basic Treatment (Vol. 2), Dallas Texas, CRC Press.
- Raff J., Soltmann U., Matys S., Selenska-pobell S., Botcher H., Pompe W., (2003), Biosorption of uranium and copper by biocers, *Chemistry of Materials*, **15**, 240-244.
- Raize O., Argaman Y., Yannai S., (2004), Mechanisms of biosorption of different heavy metals by brown marine macroalgae, *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 87, 451-458.
- Rybczynska-Tkaczyk K., Korniłłowicz-Kowalska T., (2016), Biosorption optimization and equilibrium isotherm of industrial dye compounds in novel strains of microscopic fungi, *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, **13**, 2837– 2846.
- Sadeghi M., Karimi H., Alijanvand M.H., (2017), Removal of lead ions from industrial wastewater using precipitation process, *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, **16**, 1563-1568.
- Sarin V., Singh T.S., Pant K., (2006), Thermodynamic and breakthrough column studies for the selective sorption

of chromium from industrial effluent on activated eucalyptus bark, *Bioresource Technology*, **97**, 1986-1993.

- Saygideger S., Gulnaz O., Istifi E.S., Yusel L., (2005), Adsorption of Cd and Ni ions by *Lemna minor L*, Effect physiochemical environment, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **126**, 96-104.
- Vijayaraghvan K., Palanivelu K., Velan M., (2006), Treatment of nickel containing electroplating effluents with Sargassum weightiest biomass, Process Biochemistry, 41, 853-859.
- Volesky B., (1990), *Biosorption of Heavy Metals*, CRC Press, Boca Raton Ann Arbor Boston.

- Volesky B., (2001), Detoxification of metal-bearing effluents: biosorption for next country, *Hydrometallurgy*, **59**, 203-216.
- Volesky B., (2003), *Biosorption and Biosorption*, BV Sorbex Inc., Quebec Canada, 233-254.
- Williams C.J., Aderhold D., Edyvean R.G.J., (1998), Comparison between biosorbent for the removal of metal ions from aqueous solution, *Water Research*, 32, 216-224.
- Yang Lei A., Paul Chen J., (2008), Biosorption of hexavalent chromium onto raw and chemically modified Sargassum sp., Bioresource Technology, 99, 297-307.