Environmental Engineering and Management Journal

December 2021, Vol. 20, No. 12, 2025-2032 http://www.eemj.icpm.tuiasi.ro/; http://www.eemj.eu



"Gheorghe Asachi" TechnicalUniversity of lasi, Romania



# EFFECTS OF Arthrobacter arilaitensis AND Pseudomonas putida ON SALT STRESS TOLERANCE IN WHEAT

Özgür Ateş<sup>1\*</sup>, Merih Kivanç<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Geçit Kuşağı Agricultural Research Institute. Ziraat Cad. No. 396 26010 Tepebaşı, Eskişehir Turkey <sup>2</sup>Eskisehir Technical University, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, Tepebaşı, Eskisehir, Turkey

#### Abstract

Soil salinity is a problem for agricultural production. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) can increase plant growth under salinity conditions by reducing the "stress ethylene" level by ACC deaminase activity. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of *Arthrobacter arilaitensis* and *Pseudomonas putida* on increasing the growth of wheat under different salt stress conditions. Pot experiments were conducted to determine the effectiveness of *A. arilaitensis*, and *P. putida* strains for plant growth of wheat under different salt conditions: 0.95 (control), 3.98, 7.80, and 11.05 dS m<sup>-1</sup> with four replications. Inoculation of *A. arilaitensis* and *P. putida* increased membrane stability index (MSI) and carotenoid content of wheat, while malondialdehyde and proline content decreased under different salt stress conditions. *A. arilaitensis* increased MSI and carotenoid content by 10%, while *P. putida* increased by 16% and 12%, respectively. Similarly, *A. arilaitensis* and *P. putida* applications reduced leaf MDA content by 14% and 16%, respectively. Besides, wheat proline content decreased by 38% with inoculation of *A. arilaitensis* and 33% with *P. putida*. The results obtained show that *A. arilaitensis* and *P. putida* isolates reduce the harmful effects of salinity stress and can be used as biological inoculum to reduce the harmful effects caused by salinity.

Key words: coastal sediments, contamination factor, enrichment factor, sediment quality guidelines, trace metals

Received: October, 2020; Revised final: March, 2021; Accepted: October, 2021; Published in final edited form: December, 2021

#### 1. Introduction

Climate change, water shortages, biotic and abiotic stresses, and decreases in soil fertility are among the main restrictions limiting the development and productivity of crops and threatening food safety. In agriculture, productivity losses occur 50% due to abiotic stresses and 30% due to biotic stresses worldwide (Kumar and Verma, 2018). Abiotic stresses such as salinity, drought, temperature, and heavy metals can be considered the leading causes of regression in plant development (Phour and Sindhu, 2020). Salt stress causes the yellowing of leaves and decreases plant growth and height. Salt stress not only prevents plant growth but also significantly changes plant physiology. Salt stress also leads to the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS)—such as hydrogen peroxide and superoxide radicals which induces lipid peroxidation and oxidative stress in plants (Zörb et al., 2019). Due to high salt stress conditions, the average yield of all crops such as sugar beet, sugar cane, sorghum, cotton, barley, and wheat has been reduced by 50% (Panta et al., 2014). Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), Turkey's primary food, is often grown in semi-arid regions. Wheat is highly affected by salt stress at all stages of growth and development. Salt stress has a strong negative impact on yield during different developmental and growth stages of wheat production. Salinity causes lower biomass production and yield due to the adjustment of osmotic

<sup>\*</sup>Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed: E-mail: ozgurates@windowslive.com; Phone: +90 0506 381 18 14; Fax: 0 222 324 03 01

irregularities under stress conditions of plant metabolism (Borrelli et al., 2018). Salt stress causes the plant to reduce the amount of pigment involved in photosynthesis, such as chlorophyll and carotenoids (Yarsı et al., 2017). Under high salinity, increased sodium (Na<sup>+</sup>) and chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>) concentrations in plant tissues cause ion imbalances in the plant, resulting in reduced nutrient intake (Parihar et al., 2015).

There are several strategies to overcome salt stress in plants. These strategies include the improvement of salt-tolerant plant species (Rabhi et al., 2009), washing the soil with water, the use of various chemicals, and the use of plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) (Yildirim et al., 2011). Among these various approaches, using salttolerant PGPR is the most ecologically appropriate and sustainable (Çakmakçı 2016; Forni et al., 2017). PGPR produces the enzyme 1-aminocyclopropane-1carboxylate (ACC) deaminase, which cleaves ACC (a precursor to stress ethylene) (Ali et al., 2014; Glick, 2014). High salinity causes increased production of ethylene. Ethylene is a plant growth hormone that increases plant development at a low (10 mg/L) concentration (Nadeem et al., 2010); however, increased ethylene levels restrict root and shoot growth, leaf growth, and plant growth and development (Glick, 2014). In this enzyme's presence, plants regulate the mobilization of nutrients and the intake of salts (Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup>), especially in saline conditions (Forni et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2017). The use of PGPRs increases plants growth and productivity in average soils and saline soils (Tahir et al., 2019). In this study, it was aimed to (1) determine the effects of inoculation with ACC deaminase producing Arthrobacter arilaitensisand Pseudomonas putida on the biochemical parameters of wheat under different salt conditions and (2) compare the effects of Arthrobacter arilaitensisand Pseudomonas putida on the growth of wheat under salt stress conditions.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Bacterial strains

Previously isolated ACC deaminase-producing *Arthrobacter arilaitensis* (CP012750.1) and *Pseudomonas putida* (GQ2008822.1) were used in pot experiments. Phosphate solubilization, indole acetic acid (IAA) production, nitrogen fixation, and hydrogen cyanide production properties of bacteria used in the experiment were each determined.

## 2.2. Phosphate solubilization of strain

National Botanical Research Institute's Phosphate (NBRIP) medium was used to determine bacterial strains' phosphorus solubilization. 0.2 mL of 24-hour bacterial culture was added to 20 mL of NBRIP medium and incubated at 150 rpm for three days at 28.5±1°C. At the end of incubation, the medium was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min. The amount of phosphorus in the supernatant was determined by an inductive coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES). ICP OES specifications were RF power (KW): 1400, Auxiliary gas flow rate (L/min): 0.5, Atomizer flow rate (L/min):0.5, Plasma flow rate (L/min): 18 and gas: argon.

## 2.3. Indole acetic acid (IAA) production of strain

Indole acetic acid production of strains was determined using the method described by Bharucha et al. (2013). 0.2 mL of 24-hour bacterial culture was added to the 20 mL medium and incubated at 150 rpm for four days at  $28.5 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. At the end of incubation, 2 mL of supernatant was taken by centrifuging the medium at 5000 rpm for 10 min. 2 drops of phosphoric acid and 4 mL of Salkowski solution (50 mL of 35% HCIO<sub>4</sub> containing 1 mL of 0.5 M FeCl<sub>3</sub>) were added and incubated in the dark for 1 hour. The color formed after incubation was calculated by measuring 535 nm in the spectrophotometer with the standard IAA graph's help.

## 2.4. Nitrogen fixation

A nitrogen-free medium development (Döbereiner, 1988) was used to determine the growth of bacterial strains. 24-hour bacterial culture was streaked on a nitrogen-free medium and incubated at  $28.5\pm1^{\circ}$ C for seven days bacteria growing in the medium after incubation were evaluated as positive.

## 2.5. Hydrogen cyanide production of strain

Hydrogen cyanide (HCN) production strains were determined according to Ahmad *et al.* (2008). 200  $\mu$ l in a 24-hour bacterial culture was streaked on nutrient agar containing 4.4 g/L glycine. The filter paper was wetted with 1 mL of 2% sodium bicarbonate dissolved in a 0.5% picric acid solution and placed on the lids of petri dishes. The petri dishes were wrapped with parafilm and incubated at 28.5 ± 1 °C for five days. The brown-red development at the end of incubation was indicated as HCN production.

## 2.6. Inoculation of seeds with bacteria

Wheat seeds were immersed in 95% ethanol for 5 seconds, then kept in 2%  $HgCI_2$  solution for 3 min. to surface sterilization. The seeds were washed with sterilized distilled water and kept for 30 min in 24-h bacterial culture (1 x  $10^8$  cfu mL<sup>-1</sup>) (Nadeem et al., 2010).

## 2.7. Pot experiments

Pot experiments were conducted in a greenhouse to determine the effectiveness of *A. arilaitensis*, and *P. putida* strains for plant growth of wheat under different salt conditions. Soils were taken from the land and sieved through 2 mm. Pot soils were

analyzed for physicochemical properties. The properties of the soils are: saturation: 56%, pH: 7.82, EC: 0.95 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, organic matter: 1.44%, extractable P: 4.12 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and extractable K: 120.38 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Pot trials were conducted at four different salt levels (0.95, 3.98, 7.80, and 11.05 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) with four replications. The amount of NaCl was calculated, dissolved in water, and applied to the pots soils. *A. arilaitensis* and *P. putida* inoculated wheat seeds were planted in the pots.

Four wheat seeds were sown in each pot and seeds without bacterial inoculation were used as a control. Bezostaja wheat variety was used in this study.The experiment was carried out according to the factorial experiment design in randomized plots. Lipid peroxidation, proline, carotenoid, membrane stability index,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Na^+$ ,  $K^+$  content of wheat leafs were determined and analyzed. Wheat leaves were collected in the earing period.Data were analyzed using SPSS version 25.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Differences among means were compared with Duncan's multiple comparison tests.

#### 2.8. Lipid peroxidation

Oxidative damage occurring in lipids due to was determined by measuring salt stress malondialdehyde content(MDA). To determine the amount of MDA, 0.5 g of leaf sample was homogenized in 10 mL of 0.1% trichloroacetic acid. The homogenate was centrifuged for 7500 g for 10 minutes, and, after centrifugation, 1 mL of supernatant was placed into glass tubes, and 4 mL of thiobarbituric acid solution containing 20% trichloroacetic acid was added. After the glass tubes were heated at 95 °C for 30 minutes, the reaction was terminated by a cold water bath. After the second centrifugation of 7500 g for 10 min, the samples' absorbance was determined in the spectrophotometer at wavelengths of 532 and 600 nm (Erdogan et al., 2016).

#### 2.9. Determination of membrane stability index (MSI)

To determine the membrane stability index, 1 cm diameter discs were removed from leaf samples. The removed discs were placed in glass tubes containing 10 mL of distilled water, and the tubes were kept in a 30°C water bath for 3 hours. Later, the electrical conductivity of the tubes was measured with an EC meter (*C1*). Tubes were autoclaved at 121°C for 15 minutes, and electrical conductivity was measured for the second (C2) (Sairam et al., 2002). The membrane stability index was calculated by following the formula (Eq.1).

$$MSI(\%) = 1 - (C1/C2) \times 100$$
(1)

#### 2.10. Determination of proline content

Bates (1973)method was used to determine the proline content of fresh wheat leaves. A 0.5 g fresh

leaf sample was homogenized in a solution containing 10 mL of 3% sulfosalicylic acid. The homogenate was centrifuged at 5000 g for 10 min. 2 mL of supernatant was placed into glass tubes, and 2 mL of ninhydrin and 2 mL of acetic acid were added to the tubes. After the glass tubes were kept in a hot water bath at 95°C for 1 hour, the reaction was terminated using cold water. The amount of proline was determined using a standard proline graph.

#### 2.11. Determination of carotenoids content

The amount of carotenoid in wheat leaves was calculated, as Lichtenthaler (1987) reported. In the carotenoid determination, 0.5 g of fresh leaves were taken and crushed in 25 mL 90% acetone in a porcelain mortar. The crushed samples were then filtered to make up 50 mL of the final volume with acetone. Chla, Chl b and carotenoids were calculated using(Eqs. 2-4):

Chlorophyll a (mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW) =  $(11.75 \times A663 - 2.35 \times A645) \times 50/500$ 

(2) Chlorophyll b (mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW) = $(18.61 \times A645 - 3.96 \times A663) \times 50/500$ 

(3)  

$$Carotenoid (mg/gFW) = ((1000xA470) - (2.27)$$
  
 $xChlA) - (81.4xChlB)/227)x50/500$   
(4)

2.12. Determination of leaf  $Ca^{2+}/Na^{+}$  and  $K^{+}/Na^{+}$  contents

The leaf samples were washed with distilled water and then dried at 65°C for 48 hours. The dried samples were ground and then weighed into 0.25 g microwave tubes. 10 mL of HNO<sub>3</sub> containing 20% HCIO<sub>4</sub> was added and digested for 30 min. The liquid resulting from digestion was completed to 50 mL, and then Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and Ca<sup>+2</sup> were determined as mg g<sup>-1</sup>DW by ICP-OES. ICP OES specifications were RF power (KW): 1400, Auxiliary gas flow rate (L/min): 0.5, Atomizer flow rate (L/min):0.5, Plasma flow rate (L/min) : 18 and gas: argon.

## 3. Results and discussion

Plant growthpromoting properties (phosphorus solubilizing, IAA production nitrogen fixation, and HCN production) of *A. arilaitensis* and *P. putida* were determined (Table 1). *A. arilaitensis* produced 25.42 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IAA, and HCN. *P. putida* produced 14.02 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IAA, 68.22 mg L<sup>-1</sup> phosphate solubilized and developed in a nitrogen-free medium. *A. arilaitensis* andP. putida were selected and used according to their growing status in the ACC minimal agar medium, indicating the presence of ACC deaminase enzyme. Due to the bacterial strain, there is wide variability in ACC deaminase production. ACC deaminase activity has reported *Bacillus* sp (Misra and Chauhan, 2020), *Serratia* sp. (Turhan et al., 2020), *Enterobacter* sp.

(Nadeem et al., 2010; Singh et al., 2017; Singh and Jha, 2016), Arthobacter sp. (Barnawal et al., 2014), Streptomyces sp. (Palaniyandi et al., 2014), and Pseudomonas sp. (Zahir et al., 2009). A. arilaitensis and P. putida produced indol acetic acid (IAA) besides the ACC deaminase. Recent studies have shown that rhizobacteria can produce auxin and ACC deaminase, are considered one of the main factors promoting plant growth attributes (Glick, 2014). In addition to auxin and ACC deaminase activities, A. arilaitensis exhibited hydrogen cyanide production, while P. putida showed mineral phosphate solubility and nitrogen fixation. Hydrogen cyanide production, mineral phosphate solubility, and nitrogen fixation have been accepted as the basic mechanisms of PGPR (Cakmakc1 et al., 2007).

Salinity stress causes nutritional and hormonal imbalances in affected plants due to increased ethylene levels, causing various physiological damage. Malondialdehyde (MDA) is an indicator of oxidative damage occurring in cells under abiotic stress conditions and occurs due to lipid peroxidation. Therefore, increased MDA content is a cellular indicator of damage occurring in stress-induced lipid membranes (Arbona et al., 2008). In this study, the MDA content of wheat increased due to increased salt concentrations (Table 2). However, the application of A. arilaitensis and P. putida reduced MDA content compared to the uninoculated control. A. arilaitensis application 14% and P. putidaapplication decreased MDA content by 16% compared to the uninoculated control. A recent study (Singh et al., 2017) showed that the application of PGPRs producing ACC deaminase reduces MDA content as a result of lipid

peroxidation in wheat under salt stress conditions. Similarly, Singh and Jha (2016) and Koç (2015) reported that inoculated with ACC deaminaseproducing bacteria caused lower MDA content in plants than controls of wheat and strawberry, respectively.

Salt applications caused a decrease in the membrane stability index of wheat (Table 3). Bacteria applications increased membrane stability compared to the uninoculated control. *A. arilaitensis* and *P. putida* applications increased MSI of wheat by 10% and 6%, respectively. Due to the increased salt stress, decreases were observed in MSI. MSI is closely related to reactive oxygen species (ROS) production that produces stressful conditions, leading to electrolyte leakage (Kumar et al., 2017).

In this study, inoculation with *A.arilaitensis* and *P. putida* increased the MSI of wheat under salt stress conditions. These results are supported by Garg and Pandey (2015), Singh et al., (2017), and Talaat et al., (2015), who report that plants inoculated with ACC deaminase-producing bacteria have a higher membrane stability index. Low MDA and high MSI can be considered to indicate that plants are less affected by salt stress conditions.

Proline accumulation in plants is an important process that plays a critical role in maintaining intracellular osmotic balance and minimizing the damage caused by salt stress. The amount of proline in plants increases under salt stress, and the salt has a strong correlation with the duration and degree of stress. Proline accumulation allows plants to maintain proper osmotic balance under their low water potential (Lei et al., 2016).

Table 1. Plant growth-promoting traits of A. arila	aitensis and P. Putida
--	------------------------

Strains	<b>P</b> Solubilization (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	I.A.A Production (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Nitrogen Fixation	HCN Production	
A. arilaitensis	-	25.72	-	+	
P. putida	68.72	14.02	+	-	

Table 2. Effects of A. arilaitensis and P. putida inoculation on malondialdehyde (MDA) content of wheat (nmol mL<sup>-1</sup>)

	0.95 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	3.98 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	7.80 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	11.05 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	Average
Control	0.58±0.04 e	0.63±0.02 cde	0.76±0.03 b	1.08±0.05 a	0.76±0.20 a
A. arilaitensis	0.58±0.04 e	0.68±0.01 c	0.64±0.03 cde	0.67±0.03 cd	0.65±0.05 b
P. putida	0.58±0.08 e	0.62±0.08 de	0.67±0.01 cd	0.81±0.03 c	0.64±0.07 b
Avarage	0.58±0.05 d	0.64±0.05 c	0.69±0.06 b	0.81±0.02 a	

p<0.05, salinity\*, bacteria\*, salinity x bacteria\*, Different letters indicate significant differences between applications

Table 3. Effects of A. arilaitensis and P. putida inoculation on membrane stability index (MSI) of wheat (%)

	0.95 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	3.98 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	7.80 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	11.05 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	Average
Control	82.51±1.69 a	75.47±2.90 b	59.79±1.59 d	50.09±1.94 f	66.96±7.44 c
A. arilaitensis	83.96±0.79 a	80.28±0.40 a	64.92±2.25 c	64.15±4.35 c	73.44±6.43 a
P. putida	83.89±3.39 a	81.42±2.26 a	61.23±4.63 cd	55.23±2.37 e	70.35±8.42 b
Average	83.47±2.18 a	79.06±3.39 b	61.87±3.48 c	56.62±7.15 d	

p<0.05 salinity\*, bacteria\*, salinity x bacteria\*, Different letters indicate significant differences between applications

In this study, we determined that the proline content of wheat increased depending on increasing salt levels (Table 4). Wheat proline content increased by 21% at 3.98 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, 65% at 7.80 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, and 140% at 11.05 dS m<sup>-1</sup> compared to 0.95 dS m<sup>-1</sup>. A. arilaitensis application reduced the proline content of wheat an average of 38% compared to the uninoculated control. Similarly, P. putida application reduced proline content by 33% compared to the uninoculated control. This may be that salt stress in wheat plants is reduced by a different mechanism from the accumulation of proline. A lower proline level in plants incubated with A.arilaitensis and P. putida under salinity stress showed that plants incubated with ACC deaminase-producing bacteria were less affected by salinity. The results of this study are similar to Afridi et al. (2019), Akbari et al.(2020), Misra and Chauhan (2020), Singh and Jha (2016), Singh etal.(2017), and Barnawal et al. (2014).

The carotenoid content of wheat leaves was negatively affected by salt conditions (Table 5). However, bacterial applications producing ACC deaminase caused an increase in carotenoids compared to the uninoculated control. *A. arilaitensis* and *P. putida* applications increased the carotenoid content of wheat by 10% and 12%, respectively. Previous studies have shown that the carotenoid content of wheat decreases due to salt stress (Dugasa et al., 2018).

In this study, the number of carotenoids was higher in plants inoculated with *A. arilaitensis* and *P. putida* compare to uninoculated plants under different salt stress conditions. Akbari et al., (2020), Misra et al., (2019), Misra and Chauhan, (2020) have reported that inoculation with ACC deaminase-producing bacteria increases the amount of carotenoids under salt stress conditions. The wheat  $Ca^{2+}/Na^+$  ratio decreased due to salt applications (Fig. 1).

A. arilaitensis and P. putida applications increased the Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio compared to controls at all salt levels. A. arilaitensis application increased the Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio of wheat average by 41% compared to the uninoculated control. Likewise, P. putida increased the Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio of wheat by 35% compared to the control. Salt applications caused a decrease in wheat K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio (Fig. 2).

Table 4. Effects of A. arilaitensis and P. putida inoculation on proline content of wheat (µmol g<sup>-1</sup>)

	0.95 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	3.98 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	7.80 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	11.05 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	Average
Control	0.76±0.04 f	1.07±0.02 de	1.68±0.08 b	2.86±0.18 a	1.60±0.84 a
A. arilaitensis	0.79±0.20 f	0.88±0.10 ef	0.92±0.22 ef	1.42±0.12 c	1.00±0.29 b
P. putida	0.80±0.07 f	0.89±0.22 ef	1.26±0.08 cd	1.32±0.12 c	1.06±0.12 b
Avarage	0.78±0.12d	0.95±0.16c	1.29±0.07b	1.87±0.12a	

p<0.05 salinity\*, bacteria\*, salinity x bacteria\*, Different letters indicate significant differences between applications

	0.95 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	3.98 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	7.80 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	11.05 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	Average
Control	0.84±0.04 a	0.72±0.06 abc	0.65±0.03 bcd	0.46±0.02 d	0.66±0.15b
A. arilaitensis	0.87±0.11 a	0.74±0.03 ab	0.69±0.03 abc	0.63±0.10 bcd	0.73±0.12a
P. putida	0.85±0.05 a	0.80±0.09 ab	0.75±0.02 ab	0.69±0.11 abc	0.74±0.14a
Avarage	0.85±0.06a	0.75±0.07b	0.69±0.06c	0.55±0.09d	

Table 5. Effects of A. arilaitensis and P. putida inoculation on carotenoid content of wheat (mg g FW<sup>-1</sup>)

p<0.05 salinity\*, bacteria\*, salinityxbacteria\*, Different letters indicate significant differences between applications



**Fig. 1.** Ca <sup>2+</sup> / Na<sup>+</sup> ratio of wheat (p<0.05 salinity\*, bacteria\*, salinity x bacteria\*, Different letters indicate significant differences between applications)



**Fig. 2.** K <sup>+</sup> / Na<sup>+</sup> ratio of wheat (p<0.05 salinity\*, bacteria\*, salinity x bacteria\*, Different letters indicate significant differences between applications)

A. arilaitensis and P. putida increased the K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio compared to the uninoculated control. P. putida application increased the K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio an average of 41% compared to the uninoculated control. Similarly, A. arilaitensis increased the K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio by an average of 45% . Salt soil contains various dominant cation-anion ionic pairs. Depending on salt stress, imbalances can occur in plant nutrients. Increasing the uptake of elements in salt stress conditions is essential for plant growth. The decline of plant growth depending on soil salinity is generally associated with higher Na<sup>+</sup> content and lower Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio accumulation (Etesami and Beattie, 2018).

In this study, the  $Ca^{2+}/Na^+$  and  $K^+/Na^+$  ratios were higher in plants inoculated with *A. arilaitensis* and *P. putida* than uninoculated controls. Similar results have been obtained in studies using ACC deaminase-containing bacteria (Afridi et al., 2019;Singh et al., 2017; Singh and Jha, 2016). Wheat yield under saline conditions was inversely proportional to increased Na<sup>+</sup> concentration. In contrast, wheat yield increased as K<sup>+</sup> concentration increased (Nio et al., 2018). These results indicate that ACC deaminase-producing PGPR is vital to maintain the balance of essential ions necessary for wheat growth.

## 4. Conclusion

Rhizobacteria that affect plant growth with more than one mechanism are more advantageous than rhizobacteria with a single mechanism. This study revealed that *A. arilaitensis* and *P. putida* with ACC deaminase activity exhibited different plant growthpromoting properties in wheat plants, which reduced salinity-dependent growth inhibition. In this study, inoculation with *A. arilaitensis* and *P. putida* promoted wheat growth under salt stress. Besides, inoculation with *A. arilaitensis* and *P. putida* has been shown to improve plant growth by improving the physiological and biochemical parameters of the plant. Therefore, the use of bacteria that promote plant growth with multiple mechanisms promises hope for sustainable cultivation of wheat in saline soils. In future studies, our studies results should be tested under field conditions, and bacteria formulation studies should be conducted.

#### Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Prof. Dr. Günseli Yaprak, Prof. Dr. N. Füsun Çam, and Prof. Dr. Osman Candan for their fruitful discussions, useful remarks and their guidance in the field studies.

#### References

- Afridi M.S., Amna S., Tariq M., Abdul S., Tehmeena M., Shehzad M., (2019), Induction of tolerance to salinity in wheat genotypes by plant growth promoting endophytes: involvement of ACC deaminase and antioxidant enzymes, *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, **139**, 569–577.
- Ahmad F., Iqbal A., Khan M.S., (2008), Screening of freeliving rhizospheric bacteria for their multiple plant growth promoting activities, *Microbiological Research*, 163, 173–181.
- Akbari A., Shahrokh G., Parisa K., Akram S., (2020), Plant growth promoting streptomyces strains are selectively interacting with the wheat cultivars especially in saline conditions, *Heliyon*, **6**, e03445, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03445.
- Ali S., Trevor C.C., Bernard R.G., (2014), Amelioration of high salinity stress damage by plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes that contain ACC deaminase, *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, **80**, 160–167.
- Arbona V., Zahed H., López-Climent F.M., Pérez-ClementeR.M., Gómez-Cadenas R.A., (2008), Antioxidant enzymatic activity 1s linked to waterlogging stress tolerance in citrus, *Physiologia Plantarum*, **132**, 452–66.

Barnawal D., Nidhi B., Deepamala M., Chandan S.C., Alok K., (2014), ACC Deaminase-containing *Arthrobacter* protophormiae induces NaCl stress tolerance through reduced ACC oxidase activity and ethylene production resulting in improved nodulation and mycorrhization in *Pisum sativum, Journal of Plant Physiology*, **171**, 884– 894.

Bates L.S., (1973), Rapid determination of free proline for water - stress studies, *Plant and Soil*, 207, 205–207.

- Bharucha U., KamLesh P., Trivedi U.B., (2013), Optimization of indole acetic acid production by *Pseudomonas putida* UB1 and its effect as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on mustard (*Brassica Nigra*), *Agricultural Research*, 2, 215–21.
- Borrelli G.M., Fragasso M., Nigro F., Platani C., Papa R, Beleggia R., Trono D., (2018), Analysis of metabolic and mineral changes in response to salt stress in durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* ssp.durum) genotypes, which differ in salinity tolerance, *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, **133**, 57–70.
- Çakmakçi R., Erat M., Erdoğan Ü., Dönmez M.F., (2007), The influence of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on growth and enzyme activities in wheat and spinach plants, *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **170**, 288–295.
- Çakmakçı R., (2016), Screening of multi-trait rhizobacteria for improving the growth, enzyme activities, and nutrient uptake of tea (*Camellia Sinensis*), *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, **47**, 1680–1690.
- Döbereiner J., (1988), Isolation and identification of root associated diazotrophs, *Plant and Soil*,**110**, 207–212.
- Dugasa T.M., Fangbin C., Wasim I., Feibo W., (2018), Genotypic difference in physiological and biochemical characteristics in response to single and combined stresses of drought and salinity between the two wheat genotypes, *Physiologia Plantarum*, **165**, 4, http://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12743.
- Erdogan Ü., Çakmakçi R., Varmazyari A., Turan M., Erdogan Y., Kitir N., (2016), Role of inoculation with multi-trait rhizobacteria on strawberries under water deficit stress, *Zemdirbyste-Agriculture*, **103**, 67–76.
- Etesami H., Gwyn A.B., (2018), Mining halophytes for plant growth-promoting halotolerant bacteria to enhance the salinity tolerance of non-halophytic crops, *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00148

Forni C., Duca D., Glick, B.R., (2017), Mechanisms of plant response to salt and drought stress and their alteration by rhizobacteria, *Plant and Soil*, **410**, 335–356.

Garg N., Pandey R., (2015), Effectiveness of native and exotic arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on nutrient uptake and 10n homeostasis in salt-stressed*Cajanus Cajan* L. (Millsp.), *Enotypes. Mycorrhiza*, **25**, 165–180.

Glick B.R., (2014), Bacteria with ACC deaminase can promote plant growth and help to feed the world, *Microbiological Research*, 169, 30–39.

Jackson C.R., Vallaire S.C., (2009), Effects of salinity and nutrients on microbial assemblages in louisiana wetland sediments, *Wetlands*, 29,277–87.

- Koç A., (2015), Effect of plant growth-promoting bacteria and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on lipid peroxidation and total phenolics of strawberry (Fragaria × Ananassa 'San Andreas') under salt stress, *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, **39**, 992–298.
- Kumar A., Verma J.P., (2018), Does plant-microbe interaction confer stress tolerance in plants: a review, *Microbiological Research*, 207, 41–52.

- Kumar M., Mishra S., Dixit V., Kumar M., Agarwal L., Chauhan P.S., Nautiyal C.S., (2016), Synergistic effect of *Pseudomonas putida* and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* ameliorates drought stress in chickpea (*Cicer Arietinum L.*), *Plant Signaling and Behavior*, **11**, e1071004, 10.1080/15592324.2015.1071004.
- Kumar S., Beena A.S., Awana M., Singh A., (2017), Physiological, biochemical, epigenetic and molecular analyses of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) genotypes with contrasting salt tolerance, *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 1151, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01151.
- Lei P., Xu Z., Liang J., Luo X., Zhang Y., Feng X., Xu X., (2016), Poly(γ-Glutamic Acid) enhanced tolerance to salt stress by promoting proline accumulation in *Brassica napus* L, *Plant Growth Regulation*, **78**, 233-241.
- Misra S., Chauhan P.S., (2020), ACC Deaminase-producing rhizosphere competent Bacillus spp. mitigate salt stress and promote Zea Mays growth by modulating ethylene metabolism, *Biotech*, **10**, 11, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-020-2104-y.
- Misra S., Dixit V.K., Mishra S.K., Chauhan P.S., (2019), Demonstrating the potential of abiotic stress-tolerant *Jeotgalicoccus huakuii* NBRI 13E for plant growth promotion and salt stress amelioration, *Annals of Microbiology*, 69, 419–434.
- Nadeem S.M., Zahir A.Z., Naveed M., Asghar H.M., Arshad M., (2010), Rhizobacteria capable of producing accdeaminase may mitigate salt stress in wheat, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **74**, 533–542.
- Nio S.A.I., Ludong D.P.M., Wade L.J., (2018), Comparison of leaf osmotic adjustment expression in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under water deficit between the whole plant and tissue levels, *Agriculture and Natural Resources*, 52, 33–38.
- Palaniyandi S.A., Damodharan K., Yang Y.S., Suh J.D., (2014), Streptomyces sp. strain PGPA39 alleviates salt stress and promotes growth of 'micro tom' tomato plants, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **117**, 766–773.
- Panta S., Flowers T., Lane P., Doyle R., Haros G., Shabala S., (2014), Halophyte agriculture: success stories, *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, **107**, 71–83.
- Parihar P., Singh S., Singh R., Singh V.P., Prasad S.M., (2015), Effect of salinity stress on plants and its tolerance strategies: a review, *Environmental Science* and Pollution Research, 22, 4056–4075.
- Phour M., Sindhu S.S., (2020), Amelioration of salinity stress and growth stimulation of mustard (*Brassica Juncea* L.) by salt-tolerant Pseudomonas species, *Applied Soil Ecology*, **149**, 103518, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103518.
- Rabhi M., Hafsi C., Lakhdar A., Hajji S., Barhoumi Z., Hamrouni M.H., Abdelly C., Smaoui A., (2009), Evaluation of the capacity of three halophytes to desalinize their rhizosphere as grown on saline soils under nonleaching conditions, *African Journal of Ecology*, 47, 463–468.
- Sairam R.K., Rao K., Srivastava G.C., (2002), Differential response of wheat genotypes to long term salinity stress in relation to oxidative stress, antioxidant activity and osmolyte concentration, *Plant Science*, **163**, 1037– 1046.
- Singh R.P., Jha P.N., (2016), Mitigation of salt stress in wheat plant (*Triticum aestivum*) by ACC deaminase bacterium *Enterobacter sp.* SBP-6 isolated from Sorghum bicolor, *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, 38, 110, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-016-2123-9.

- Singh R.P., Jha P., Jha P.N., (2017), Bio-moculation of plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium enterobacter Cloacae ZNP-3 increased resistance against salt and temperature stresses in wheat plant (*Triticum aestivum* L.), *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, **36**, 783–798.
- Tahir M., Ahmad I., Shahid M., Shah G.M., Farooq A.B.U., Akram M., Tabassum S.A., (2019), Regulation of antioxidant production, 10n uptake and productivity in potato (*Solanum tuberosum L.*) plant inoculated with growth promoting salt tolerant bacillus strains, *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, **178**, 33–42.
- Talaat N.B., Ghoniem A.E., Magdi T.A., Shawky B.T., (2015), Effective microorganisms improve growth performance, alter nutrients acquisition and induce compatible solutes accumulation in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) plants subjected to salinity stress,*Plant Growth Regulation*, **75**, 281–295.
- Turhan E., Kiran S., Ates Ç., Ates Ö., Kusvuran S., Ellialtioglu S.S., (2020), Ameliorative effects of inoculation with serratia marcescens and grafting on

growth of eggplant seedlings under salt stress, *Journal* of *Plant Nutrition*, **43**, 594–603.

- Yarsı G., Sıvacı A., Dasgan G.Y., Altuntas Ö, Bınzet R., Akhoundnejad Y.,(2017), Effects of salınıty stress on chlorophyll and carotenoid contents and stomata size of grafted and ungrafted galia C8 melon cultivar, *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, **49**, 421-426.
- Yildirim E., Turan M., Ekinci M., Dursun A., Cakmakci R., (2011), Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria ameliorate deleterious effect of salt stress on lettuce, *Scientific Research and Essays*, 6, 4389–96.
- Zahir Z.A., Ghani U., Naveed M., Nadeem S.M., Asghar H.M., (2009), Comparative effectiveness of *Pseudomonas* and *Serratia sp.* containing ACCdeaminase for improving growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under salt-stressed conditions, *Archives of Microbiology*, **191**, 415–24.
- Zörb C., Geilfus C.M., Dietz K.J., (2019), Salinity and crop yield, *Plant Biology*, 21, 31–38.