Environmental Engineering and Management Journal

June 2021, Vol.20, No. 6, 917-925 http://www.eemj.icpm.tuiasi.ro/; http://www.eemj.eu



"Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of lasi, Romania



# THE ROLE OF LONG TERMINAL REPEAT (LTR) RESPONSES TO DROUGHT IN SELENIUM-TREATED WHEAT

Seba Turhan<sup>1</sup>, Mahmut Sinan Taspinar<sup>1\*</sup>, Esma Yigider<sup>1</sup>, Murat Aydin<sup>1</sup>, Guleray Agar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ataturk University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Erzurum 25240, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Ataturk University, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, Erzurum 25240, Turkey

### Abstract

Wheat is one of the most significant food crops among cereals worldwide in terms of cultivation area and consumption. The reduction in wheat yield due to stress conditions has a major impact on the economy. Long Terminal Repeat (LTR) retrotransposons are considered to be one of the most important mobile elements, which are moved by the environmental alterations in the plant genome and are remarkable in the rearrangement of the genome. The research has been structured to elucidate the impact of selenium on DNA damage and LTR retro-transposition polymorphism in wheat subjected to drought stress. IRAP (Inter-Retrotransposon Amplified Polymorphism) and REMAP (Retrotransposon-Microsatellite Amplified Polymorphism) procedures were used to describe the DNA damage stages and retro-transposition polymorphism. The outcomes revealed that drought stress induced by polyethylene glycol (-4, -6, and -8 bar PEG 8000) led to a rise in retro-transposition polymorphism, and also a reduction in genomic template stability (GTS). However, DNA damage and retro-transposition polymorphism decreased by treatment with disodium selenite (6, 8, and 10 µM of Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se) co-treated with similar dosages of PEG 8000. These results suggest that drought-induced destructive impacts on wheat could be alleviated by exogenously applied disodium selenite.

Keywords: genomic template stability, IRAP, REMAP, retro-transposition polymorphism

Received: March, 2020; Revised final: August, 2020; Accepted: October, 2020; Published in final edited form: June, 2021

### 1. Introduction

Today, about 800 million people are exposed to malnutrition. The global population is estimated to spread 9.6 billion up to 2050. Thus, supplementary food necessary to nourish the growing population generates repression on present natural resources (McGuire, 2015). This rapid increase should be answered in the same way, and in the solution of nutritional problems, the production of herbal food sources in limited agricultural areas should be achieved with maximum efficiency. Plants are frequently subject to abiotic factors such as nutrient deficiency, salinity, drought, cold, temperature, and toxic metals (Zhu, 2016). Among these abiotic stresses, drought is a notable problem for crop production in the sub-arid and the arid area of the world and these adverse impacts of drought are expected to rise further with global warming, which contributes in increasing average air temperatures (Ndehedehe et al., 2018). Recently, prolonged drought has given rise to serious damage to crops in most cultivation areas.

Drought stress is known that triggered biochemically, physiologically, and genetically changes, also causing many adverse impacts on plant growing parameters (Cho et al., 2009; Si et al., 2009). Prolonged exposure to oxidative stress such as drought, salinity, heavy metal, UV radiation, pathogens, ionic toxicity, mechanical and hightemperature stress, etc. lead to damage of the plant cells owing to the gathering of extreme reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Astaneh et al., 2019; Pandey and Gupta, 2015; Qing et al., 2015). Plants have

<sup>\*</sup>Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed: e-mail: taspinar@atauni.edu.tr; Phone: +90 442 2312135; Fax: +90 442 2360948

antioxidant mechanisms to eliminate the negative effect of ROS. The imbalance between ROS production and antioxidants leads to damage of cellular components including lipids, nucleic acids, metabolites, and proteins (Foyer and Fletcher, 2001; Hasanuzzaman and Fujita, 2011).

Different exogenous applications such as putrescine (Sigmaz et al., 2015), humic acid (Yigider et al., 2016), silicon (Zhu, 2016), salicylic acid (Shaki et al., 2017), melatonin (Kul et al., 2019), and selenium (Agar and Taspinar, 2003; Agar et al., 2005; Filek et al., 2019; Taspinar et al., 2009) has been shown to be used to increase plant stress tolerance. Selenium is not a vital nutrient due to its natural structure for a plant, but recent researches have indicated in beneficial effects of low concentration of selenium which it is one of the most important antioxidant substances known in the world (Feng et al., 2013; Proietti et al., 2013).

Selenium may promote plant growth, increase the antioxidative ability of plants, and control the water case of plants in drought conditions and improves photosynthetic systems by rising chlorophyll content, transpiration efficiency, intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and stomatal conductance (Astaneh et al., 2019; Djanaguiraman et al., 2005: Hasanuzzaman and Fujita, 2011: Jiang et al., 2015; Kuznetsov et al., 2006; Nawaz et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014). Moreover, selenium has been demonstrated to reduce the toxicity of several heavy metals to high plants, e.g. arsenic (As), chromium (Cr), lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd) (Shahid et al., 2019; Shekari et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019).

Numerous researches revealed that selenium may reduce the harmful impact of many stresses on plants such as drought (Aissa et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2012), salt (Astaneh et al., 2019; Elkelish et al., 2019; Lan et al., 2019), heat (Haghighi et al., 2019) and toxic metals (Shekari et al., 2019; Wan et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019).

It was demonstrated that environmental stresses (heavy metal, water, cold, drought, salt, and osmotic) alter gene expression by DNA methylation, histone modifications, and retrotransposons (RTNs) activities in recent researches (Grativol et al., 2012; Karan et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2012; Tan, 2010). These epigenetic alterations may be linked to the capability to adapt to plants submitted to drought (Lu et al., 2007). Except for epigenetic mechanisms, various factors have been shown to modify these significant reactions of drought stress in plants (Hirayama and Shinozaki, 2010). These include vitamins, trace metals, and other compounds. Most researchers noticed that exogenous selenium implementation increased the osmoregulatory capacity, antioxidative, etc. (Astaneh et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2013; Nawaz et al., 2014). This protective impact was confirmed in several later studies with drought stress (Taspinar et al., 2009; Yao et al., 2009; 2012). The protective effect of selenium has been shown to depend on its concentration used in both plant and animal studies (Abul-Hassan et al., 2004; Agar and Taspinar, 2003; Agar et al., 2005; El-Sharaky et al., 2007; Filek et al., 2008; Muñoz et al., 2007; Theodorakis et al., 2006). Besides, selenium has been found to stimulus the degree of DNA methylation in plants and animals (Davis et al., 2000; Filek et al., 2008; Takiguchi et al., 2003; Xu et al., 2000). Their outcomes show that selenium may be protecting the impact against stress by using biochemical and epigenetic mechanisms. However, the protecting impact of selenium against drought stress by inducing genetic and retrotransposition activity in the plant has not been clarified. Therefore, in this study our main aim was to examine DNA damage and the polymorphism of RTNs in wheat subjected to drought stress using IRAP and REMAP assay and whether selenium has any effect on polymorphisms.

# 2. Material and methods

Twenty wheat (T. aestivum L. cv. Kırik) seeds were sterilized with 70% ethanol for 1 min. and 10% commercial bleach for 15 min., respectively, and afterward washed twice with sterile dH<sub>2</sub>O. 20 sterilized seeds for each treatment were sowing in small plastic pots which include hydrotones and afterward placed on a container containing Hoagland solution (pH=5.8) (Sigma H2395) (Hoagland and Arnon, 1950). Hydroponic containers with the nutrient solutions were covered with aluminum foil to prevent light. The air-pump system was used to provide oxygen into the solution. The seeds were germinated in 22±1°C (16 h light/8 h dark), 400  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light intensity, and 65% relative humidity for 14 days. After this period, plants were exposed to different concentrations of disodium selenite (0, 6, 8, and 10 µM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se) with a foliar spray. The first container nutrient solutions were changed with prepared nutrient solution based on osmotic potentials (0, -4, -6 and -8 created with PEG 8000). 24 hours after Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se application, seedlings were cultured in the same condition for 14 days. Afterward 14 days, a total of 10 plant samples were collected for each treatment and were kept at -80°C. The strategy of bulk DNA sampling was applied in this study and total DNA was insulated from using the CTAB procedure of Sigmaz et al. (2015) with small alterations. Basic parameters for DNA such as the concentration and quantification were designated using a spectrophotometer and electrophoresis on a 0.8% agarose gel.

*Nikita*-E2647 (ACC CCT CTA GGC GAC ATC C), *Nikita*-57 (N57) (CGC ATT TGT TCA AGC CTA AAC C), Sukkula (GAT AGG GTC GCA TCT TGG GCG TGA C), *BARE* 1(0) (CTA GGG CAT AAT TCC AAC A) and WLTR2105 (ACT CCA TAG ATG GAT CTT GGT GA) primers were used in IRAP molecular analysis. IRAP-PCR reactions were achieved in 20 µl reaction mixture; including 50 ng DNA, 0.25 mM LTR primer, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 × PCR buffer (without MgCl<sub>2</sub>), 0.25 µM dNTP and 1.5 U *Taq* DNA polymerase (BioLabs M0267S). The PCR amplification program formed of 1 cycle at 95°C, 5 min; pursued by 42 cycles at 94°C, 1 min; 55°C to 63°C, 1 min; 72°C, 2 min, and the last extension at 72°C, 15 min. The reaction products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel for 180 min at 90 volts; detected by ethidium bromide staining and snapped by gel photograph.

Giving the best performance in wheat the primers IRAP Sukkula, *Nikita*-E2647, BARE1 (0), and Stowaway were combined with two ISSR primers 8081/8082 ((GA)<sub>9</sub>C/(CT)<sub>9</sub>G). Five LTR-ISSR primer combinations were used as REMAP primers. The indicated methods in IRAP analysis were also used for REMAP analysis except for primers annealing temperature.

IRAP and REMAP designs were calculated using TotalLab TL120 software (Table 3 and Table 4). GTS was calculated by using the formula 100- (100-a / n) for each primary product according to Atienzar et al. (1999). "a" in the formula refers to the IRAP and REMAP polymorphic profiles determined for each sample, and "n" represents the total amount of DNA bands obtained in the negative control group with the respective primer.

### 3. Results

In total, out of 10 IRAP primers tested, 5 single primers (WLTR2105, Sukkula, N-57(*Nikita*), BARE 1(0), Nikita-E2647) produced distinguishable and polymorphic banding patterns. 5 different REMAP primer combinations were employed using 4 LTR primers and 2 ISSR primers for amplification of REMAP resulted in particular and steady DNA profiles in the wheat genome. The disappearance of bands and appearance of novel bands and difference in band intensity is the clear changes in the IRAP and REMAP designs generated by PEG 8000 and/or Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se treatments in plants. The polymorphic bands that appeared for all the primers used in the study were determined by comparing control and plants exposed to PEG 8000 and Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se. The banding patterns of the E2647-IRAP primer are shown in Fig. 1. Amplified fragment lengths for IRAP and REMAP profiles ranged from 280 bp (N-57) to 2972 bp (*BARE 1(0)*) and from 227 bp (*Nikita*-E2647+ISSR 8081), respectively (Table 1 and Table 2).

IRAP results showed that different PEG 8000 concentrations caused a rise (from 29.9% to 48.0%) in the rate of t retrotransposon-induced polymorphism. Also, two different doses of Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se (6  $\mu$ M and 8  $\mu$ M) combined with PEG 8000 decreased the polymorphism. According to IRAP results, increased PEG 8000 dose resulted in a decreasing GTS% ratio (from 70.1% to 52%). 6  $\mu$ M and 8  $\mu$ M doses of Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se against to PEG 8000 were increased GTS value (Table 3).

According to REMAP results, the highest polymorphism was calculated as 58.3% (-8 bar PEG 8000, 0  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se) and the lowest polymorphism 31.0% (-4 bar PEG 8000, 6  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se). When three doses of Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se (6  $\mu$ M, 8  $\mu$ M, 10  $\mu$ M) were applied together with drought, the first two doses reduced the polymorphism caused by drought stress.



Fig. 1. The amplification products of E2647 - IRAP primer and arrows indicate appeared and disappeared bands L1:Marker, L2: Control, L3: -4 bar PEG 8000+0 μM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se, Line4: -4 bar PEG 8000+6μM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se, L5: -4 bar PEG 8000+ 8μM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se, L6: -4 bar PEG 8000+10μM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se, Line7: -6 bar PEG 8000+0μM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se, L8: -6 bar PEG 8000+6μM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se, L9: -6 bar PEG 8000+8μM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se, L10: -6 bar PEG 8000+10μM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se, L11: -8 bar PEG 8000+0μM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se, L12: -8 bar PEG 8000+6μM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se, L13: -8 bar PEG 8000+8μM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se, L14:-8 bar PEG 8000+10μM Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se

### Turhan et al./Environmental Engineering and Management Journal 20 (2021), 6, 917-925

			-	4 bar Pi	EG 800(	)	-(	5 bar PE	G 8000	-8 bar PEG 8000				
IRAP Primers	Control	+/-		$Na_2$	O <sub>4</sub> Se			Na <sub>2</sub> O	∂₄Se	Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Se				
			0µM	6 µM	8 µM	10 µM	0µМ	6µМ	8µM	10 µM	0 µМ	6µМ	8µМ	10 µM
Sukkula	8	+	-	-	-	720	1064	1172	1194	890	977 638	890 612	1677 638	1665 963 638
		-	1709 1117 950 921	1117	921	1117 863 451	1117 451	518 1117	1117 451	451	1117 921	518 771	518	518
		+	-	624	-	-	1105	1119	647	1105	893	1079	-	-
WLTR2105	9	-	928	912	989 870	928 829	989 969	989 969	989 928	989	989 912 789	989 912	989 928	989 928
Nikita-E2647	7	+	-	-	1500	-	2483 2210	1907 1027	1595 1027	2000 1675 1044 2413	1352 1990	2010 1675 1063 1925	1960 1352 953	1567 1449 1012 496
		-	2381 2120 1854	2381 2120 1854	-	2381 2120 1854	2381 2120	-	-	2381	2381 2120	1854	-	705
		+	-	-	-	-	-	2450 1813	-	-	-	-	2450 1550	-
Nikita-57(N57)	6	-	400	1121 400	1121 400	400	899 400	-	400	859 494 400	400	-	400	899 400
BARE1(0)	7	+	-	-	1050 989 702	-	702	722	-	696	1054 995 742	-	772	1050 995 442 690
		-	630 592	630 592	-	630 592	374	483	483 374	483	483 374	592 483 431	374	592 483

# **Table 1.** Molecular sizes (bp) of appeared/disappeared bands in IRAP profiles of Na2O4Se and/or PEG 8000 treatmentvs. control in wheat seedlings

 Table 2. Molecular sizes (bp) of appeared/disappeared bands in REMAP profiles of Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se and/or PEG 8000 treatment vs. control in *Triticum aestivum* Kırik seedlings

	Control	+/-	-4	4 bar F	PEG 80	00	-(	6 bar I	PEG 80	000	-8 bar PEG 8000			
<b>REMAP Primers</b>			Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Se					Na	o <sub>4</sub> Se		Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Se			
			θμМ	6µМ	8µМ	10 µM	θμМ	6µМ	8µМ	10 µM	θμМ	6µМ	8µМ	10 µM
WLTR2105+8082	6	+	1000	946	-	1064	1400	-	285	862 604	-	1020	565	-
		-	1159	1491 1159 750	-	1159 325	-	325	750	750 325	750 325	1159	325	-
Nikita-57(N57)+ 8081	7	+	1176	1071	-	-	1017	687	670	1071	-	1106	-	1089 670
		-	1612 1466 1258 896	1612 1466 1258 896	1612 1466 896	1612 1466 896	1612 1258 896	1612 896 400	896 551	1612 1258 896	1612 1466 896	1258 896	1612 1466 896	1612 1258 896 400
Sukkula+8081	5	+	-	-	1222 762	724	892 775 675	1000 663	1222 916	1222 1000 663	1222 699	854 675	775 608	1222 867 712
		-	-	-	432	-	550	479	1111	1111 479	479	479	479	1111 479
Nikita-E2647+8081	6	+	575 458	-	-	569 453	446 251	515	632 447	443	599 196 441	612 442	593 511	471
			275	741	848 275	227	848	I	848	848	848 275	848	848	848
Nikita-57(N57)+8082		+	-	-	1431	-	542	-	-	-	1442 1116	-	760	542
	7	-	1000	-	1315 400	867	663 400	663 244	663 244	663 244	1315 400 1000	663 244	663 867	1315 867 663 244

#### The role of LTRs response to drought in selenium-treated wheat

		-	4 bar 1	PEG 8	000		-8 bar PEG 8000						
<b>IRAP Primers</b>	Control		Na <sub>2</sub>	eO4Se			Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Se						
		θμМ	6µM	8µМ	10 µM	0µМ	6µМ	8µМ	10 µM	0μM	6µМ	8µМ	10 µM
Sukkula	0	50	12.5	12.5	50	37.5	37.5	37.5	25	50	50	37.5	50
WLTR2105	0	11.2	22.3	22.3	22.3	33.4	33.4	33.4	22.3	44.5	33.4	22.3	22.3
Nikita-E2647	0	42.9	42.9	14.3	42.9	57.2	28.6	28.6	71.5	57.2	71.5	57.1	71.5
Nikita-57(N57)	0	16.7	33.4	33.4	16.7	33.4	33.4	16.7	50	16.7	0	50	33.4
BARE1(0)	0	28.6	28.6	42.9	28.6	28.6	28.6	28.6	28.6	71.5	42.9	28.6	85.8
Polymorphism (%)	0	29.9	28.0	25.4	32.1	38.1	32.3	29.0	39.5	48.0	39.6	36.3	52.6
GTS value (%)	100	70.1	72.0	74.6	68.9	62.9	67.7	71.0	60.5	52.0	60.4	63.7	47.4

 
 Table 3. Changes of polymorphism% values of all IRAP primers in wheat seedling exposed to different PEG 8000 and/or Na2O4Se concentrations

 Table 4. Changes of GTS% and polymorphism% values of all REMAP primers in wheat seedling exposed to different PEG 8000 and/or Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se concentrations

		-	4 bar I	PEG 80	00	-	6 bar H	PEG 80	00	-8 bar PEG 8000				
<b>REMAP</b> Primers	Control		Na <sub>2</sub>	eO4Se			Na <sub>2</sub>	O4Se		Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Se				
		0μМ	6µM	8µМ	10 µM	θμМ	6 µM	8µM	10 µM	θμМ	6 µM	8µМ	10µM	
WLTR2105+8082	100	100	33.3	66.6	50	83.3	83.3	66.6	33.3	66.6	66.6	66.6	100	
Nikita-57(N57)+8081	100	57.1	28.5	28.5	57.1	42.8	42.8	57.1	42.8	57.1	57.1	57.1	14.2	
Sukkula+8081	100	40	100	100	80	20	40	40	0	40	40	40	0	
Nikita-E2647+8081	100	66.6	83.3	50	50	50	83.3	50	66.6	16.6	50	50	66.6	
Nikita-57(N57)+8082	100	57.1	100	85.7	85.7	57.1	71.4	71.4	71.4	28.5	71.4	57.1	28.5	
Polymorphism (%)	0	35.9	31.0	33.9	35.5	49.4	35.9	43.0	57.2	58.3	43.0	45.9	58.2	
GTS (%)	100	64.1	69.0	66.1	64.5	50.6	64.1	57.0	42.8	41.7	57.0	54.1	41.8	

Furthermore, REMAP results indicated that GTS was significantly affected depending on different PEG 8000 concentrations. Generally, increased PEG 8000 concentration caused in decreasing GTS rate (from 64.1% to 41.7%) in REMAP. However, after the application of Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se, the retrotransposon polymorphism caused by PEG 8000 was remarkably reduced (Table 4). Application of Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se against to PEG 8000 caused an increase in GTS rate. The results obtained in our study showed that a higher level of Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se (10 µM) has a toxic impact on plants in relation to both IRAP and REMAP results. When the GTS ratios given by REMAP and IRAP applications were compared, it was observed that the GTS ratio was lower and the polymorphism was higher in the REMAP technique. The reason for the high polymorphism in REMAP is due to both the movement of retrotransposons, the calculation of DNA damage, and the use of ISSR primers.

### 4. Discussion

Drought is a major stress factor that has a negative effect on plant growth and development by triggering alterations such as metabolism, oxidative activity, gene expression, and physiology (Ashraf et al., 2002; Zhu, 2016). Plants respond to stress conditions such as free radicals and abscisic acid (ABA) by causing various metabolic responses. ROS are normally synthesized in cellular events but are harmful to the detoxification mechanism under stress conditions (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1995; Tripathy and Oelmüller, 2012). Nevertheless, drought stress disturbed this balance, and ROSs cause oxidative stress, because they are more than antioxidants. Oxidative stress may result in damage to DNA resulting in mutation (Blokhina et al., 2003; Ge et al., 2002). When a plant is exposed to stress, its main purpose is to preserve the stability of the genome, because the stress causes a genotoxic effect on plant genome structures. This genotoxic effect may be related to the construction of free radicals. Drought stress has a negative effect on epigenetic phenomena such as histone modifications, DNA methylations, and retrotransposon polymorphism (Jaligot et al., 2004; Lu et al., 2007). It is known that DNA methylation is formed from epigenetic mechanisms due to the rise in ABA with oxidative stress in plant structures (Chinnusamy et al., 2008).

The transformation of RTNs is one of the epigenetic differences in the cell under drought stress (Sabot and Schulman, 2006). RTNs are mostly silent during plant growth, but various stresses increase retrotransposition activity using different molecular techniques (Bennetzen and Kumar, 1999; Finatto et al., 2015; Grandbastien 2004; Makarevitch et al., 2007; Picault et al., 2009). The expression of RTNs such as Tnt1 and Tto1 is raised in tobacco subject to diverse stresses (Hirochika and Otsuki, 1995; Pouteau et al., 1991; Sugimoto et al., 2000; Takeda et al., 1999). We have shown LTR retrotransposons polymorphism, stimulated Sukkula, WLTR2105, BARE 1(0), Nikita-57 (N57), and Nikita-E2647, in wheat subject to drought stress. Moreover, retrotransposon polymorphisms were detected to have a rise in IRAP profiles between the rates of 29.9% and 48.0% and REMAP profiles between the rates of 35.9% and 58.3% compared to control. RTNs most probably have many connections with the molecular mechanism of plant resistance genes and affect them directly. Some active RTNs such as Ttd1 are known to have like sequence to the motifs in the transcriptional

activation of defensive genes, which including the construction of secondary signaling molecules (Mhiri et al., 1999; Petersen, 2014; Tuteja, 2007). TLC1.1 RTNs are activated by many signaling molecules (synthetic auxin 2,4-D, MeJA, SA, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and ABA) (Salazar et al., 2007). Though the active RTNs were intended to protect plants, they could bring new properties by providing insertion-polymorphisms, changes in genome size, genomic instability and can also provide a contribution to genome evolution in high plants (Bennetzen, 2000; Grandbastien et al., 1997; Wessler et al., 1995). Some researches underlined the important protecting role of exogenous application of selenium against environmental many stress such as chilling, osmotic, heat, drought, paraquat, cadmium, lead, chromium, and fungal infection (Aggarwal et al., 2011; Cartes et al., 2005; Djanaguiraman et al., 2005; Hasanuzzaman et al., 2012; Shekari et al., 2019; Terry et al., 2000; Yao et al., 2009; Wan et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019). The present work examined the protecting impact of Se on PEG 8000 induced stress.

Selenium has served as a stress modulator and inhibits the gathering of ROS during stress by acting as a ROS repressive and as a pro-oxidant and antioxidant. Numerous reports have revealed a protecting impact of selenium against oxidative stress by swelling GSH-Px activity, by reducing lipid peroxidation generated by stress in high plants, stimulation of the spontaneous dismutation of O2-(superoxide) into  $H_2O_2$  (hydrogen peroxide), organizing of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant systems and repressive of ROS through selenium types (Cartes et al., 2005; Chauhan et al., 2019; Hartikainen, 2005; Kuznetsov et al., 2006; Wan et al., 2019). Also, studies have reported reductions in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production due to the addition of selenium (Elkelish et al., 2019; Hawrylak-Nowak, 2013). Alyemeni et al. (2018) indicated that the treatment of selenium at 10 µM to cadmium-treated plants upregulated the antioxidative defense mechanisms by raising the activity of glutathione reductase (GR), catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), ascorbate peroxidase (APX). Selenium-stimulate alterations in the actions of oxidoreductase enzymes were described in white clover (Wang, 2011), broad bean (Mroczek-Zdvrska and Woicik, 2012), and lettuce (Ríos et al., 2009). Furthermore, studies showed that the anti-oxidative impact of selenium was related to  $\alpha$ -tocopherol synthesis but not with rising enzyme activity (Hartikainen et al., 2000). Blokhina et al. (2003) have proposed the likely role of nonenzymatic reactions in eliminating free radicals. It is the first report focused on protecting the impact of selenium on genetic changes and on LTR retrotransposition polymorphism causing drought stress using IRAP and REMAP assay. The different polymorphic bands and GTS decreasing were obtained under the drought stress treatments compared with the control. After the selenium application, the polymorphism rate decreased and GTS increased.

The protecting impacts of selenium in the steadying of the DNA methylation design have been notified in plants (Filek et al., 2008; Taspinar et al., 2009). Selenium ions can change their methylation bounding DNA cytosine and creating methyl derivative. The protecting impacts of selenium in the variations in DNA methylation designs may also be linked to the elimination of free radicals in situ formed by stress (Filek et al., 2008). Based on these data, we suppose that the antioxidant possible activity of Se may contribute to reduce LTR activity by protecting plants from stress.

Therewithal, Se ions may eliminate epigenetic modifications such as DNA methylation formed by stress for mostly protection plants. However, the protecting role of selenium is related to its concentrations (Table 3 and Table 4). Since low concentrations of selenium are beneficial to the plant, they may show toxic effects when optimum conditions are exceeded. Many studies on different organisms have reported toxic effects of high concentrations (Agar et al., 2005; Agar and Taspinar 2003; El-Sharaky et al., 2007; Ohlendorf, 1989; Theodorakis et al., 2006; Wilber, 1980). Bai et al. (2019) reported that selenium doses above 20 mg kg-1 were negatively affected plant growth, root activity, antioxidant enzyme activity, and P and K absorption.

# 4. Conclusions

The drought, which is caused by the lack of water resources, is one of the most major matters threatening world food security. Drought depends on factors such as precipitation formation and distribution, evaporation need, and moisture storage capacity of the soil.

Under varying conditions, it is necessary to rise the drought tolerance of crops. Recent studies have revealed that many substances exogenously increase drought tolerance. Selenium might show anti-stress impacts under drought stress conditions. In our study was determined that selenium application has suppressed the genetics and epigenetics changes such as LTR retro-transposition activity and caused drought stress and stimulate plant growth.

The application of selenium can be an effective approach to increase the drought tolerance of wheat. But, it was also found that this protective effect of selenium depends on its dose.

# References

- Abul-Hassan K.S., Lehnert B.E., Guant L., Walmsley R., (2004), Abnormal DNA repair in selenium-treated human cells, *Mutation Research-Genetic Toxicology* and Environmental Mutagenesis, **565**, 45-51.
- Agar G., Alpsoy L., Yildirim N., (2005), The protective role of selenium against the genotoxicity induced by aflatoxin B sub (1) in root cells of crop plants, *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, **14**, 849-853.
- Agar G., Taspinar M., (2003), Effects of calcium, selenium and zinc on cadmium induced chromosomal aberration in roots of *Secale cereale*, *Fresenius Environmental*

Bulletin, 12, 1471-1475.

- Aggarwal M., Sharma S., Kaur N., Pathania D., Bhandhari K., Kaushal N., Kaur R., Singh K., Srivastava A., Nayyar H., (2011), Exogenous proline application reduces phytotoxic effects of selenium by minimising oxidative stress and improves growth in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) seedlings, *Biological Trace Element Research*, **140**, 354-367.
- Aissa N., Malagoli M., Radhouane L., (2018), An approach to alleviate the impact of drought stress with selenium amendment, *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions A: Science*, 42, 283-288.
- Alyemeni M.N., Ahanger M.A., Wijaya L., Alam P., Bhardwaj R., Ahmad P., (2018), Selenium mitigates cadmium-induced oxidative stress in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) plants by modulating chlorophyll fluorescence, osmolyte accumulation, and antioxidant system, *Protoplasma*, 255, 459-469.
- Ashraf M., Ashfaq M., Ashraf M., (2002), Effects of increased supply of potassium on growth and nutrient content in pearl millet under water stress, *Biologia Plantarum*, 45, 141-144.
- Astaneh R.K., Bolandnazar S., Nahandi F.Z., Oustan S., (2019), Effects of selenium on enzymatic changes and productivity of garlic under salinity stress, *South African Journal of Botany*, **121**, 447-455.
- Atienzar F.A., Conradi M., Evenden A.J., Jha A.N., Depledge M.H., (1999), Qualitative assessment of genotoxicity using random amplified polymorphic DNA: comparison of genomic template stability with key fitness parameters in *Daphnia magna* exposed to benzo [a] pyrene, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 18, 2275-2282.
- Bai B., Wang Z., Gao L., Chen W., Shen Y., (2019), Effects of selenite on the growth of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L. cv. Sadie 7) and related physiological mechanisms, *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, **41**, 78, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-019-2867-0.
- Bennetzen J., Kumar A., (1999), Plant retrotransposons, Annual Review of Genetics, 33, 479-532.
- Bennetzen J.L., (2000), Transposable element contributions to plant gene and genome evolution, *Plant Molecular Biology*, **42**, 251-269.
- Blokhina O., Virolainen E., Fagerstedt K.V., (2003), Antioxidants, oxidative damage and oxygen deprivation stress: a review, Annals of Botany, 91, 179-194.
- Cartes P., Gianfreda L., Mora M.L., (2005), Uptake of selenium and its antioxidant activity in ryegrass when applied as selenate and selenite forms, *Plant and Soil*, 276, 359-367.
- Chauhan R., Awasthi S., Srivastava S., Dwivedi S., Pilon-Smits E.A.H., Dhankher O.P., Tripathi R.D., (2019), Understanding selenium metabolism in plants and its role as a beneficial element - Critical Reviews, *Environmental Science and Technology*, **49**, 1937-1958.
- Chinnusamy V., Gong Z.Z., Zhu J.K., (2008), Abscisic acidmediated epigenetic processes in plant development and stress responses, *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, **50**, 1187-1195.
- Cho D., Shin D.J., Jeon B.W., Kwak J.M., (2009), ROSmediated ABA signaling, *Journal of Plant Biology*, 52, 102-113.
- Davis C.D., Uthus E.O., Finley J.W., (2000), Dietary selenium and arsenic affect DNA methylation in vitro in Caco-2 cells and in vivo in rat liver and colon, *Journal of Nutrition*, **130**, 2903-2909.
- Djanaguiraman M., Devi D.D., Shanker A.K., Sheeba J.A.,

Bangarusamy U., (2005), Selenium - an antioxidative protectant in soybean during senescence, *Plant and Soil*, **272**, 77-86.

- El-Sharaky A.S., Newairy A.A., Badreldeen M.M., Eweda S.M., Sheweita S.A., (2007), Protective role of selenium against renal toxicity induced by cadmium in rats, *Toxicology*, 235, 185-193.
- Elkelish A.A., Soliman M.H., Alhaithloul H.A., El-Esawi M.A., (2019), Selenium protects wheat seedlings against salt stress-mediated oxidative damage by upregulating antioxidants and osmolytes metabolism, *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, **137**, 144-153.
- Feng R.W., Wei C.Y., Tu S.X., (2013), The roles of selenium in protecting plants against abiotic stresses, *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 87, 58-68.
- Filek M., Keskinen R., Hartikainen H., Szarejko I., Janiak A., Miszalski Z., Golda A., (2008), The protective role of selenium in rape seedlings subjected to cadmium stress, *Journal of Plant Physiology*, **165**, 833-844.
- Filek M., Sieprawska A., Kościelniak J., Oklestkova J., Jurczyk B., Telk A., Janeczko A., (2019), The role of chloroplasts in the oxidative stress that is induced by zearalenone in wheat plants - The functions of 24epibrassinolide and selenium in the protective mechanisms, *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, **137**, 84-92.
- Finatto T., de Oliveira A.C., Chaparro C., da Maia L.C., Farias D.R., Woyann L.G., Mistura C.C., Soares-Bresolin A.P., Llauro C., Panaud O., Picault N., (2015), Abiotic stress and genome dynamics: specific genes and transposable elements response to iron excess in rice, *Rice*, 8, 13, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12284-015-0045-6.
- Foyer C.H., Fletcher J.M., (2001), Plant antioxidants: colour me healthy, *Biologist (London, England)*, 48, 115-120.
- Ge C.L., Yang X.Y., Liu X.N., Sun J.H., Luo S.S., Wang Z.G., (2002), Effects of heavy metal on the DNA methylation level in rice and wheat, *Journal of Plant Physiology and Molecular Biology*, 28, 363-368.
- Grandbastien M., (2004), Stress activation and genomic impact of plant retrotransposons, *Journal de la Societe de Biologie*, **198**, 425-432.
- Grandbastien M.A., Lucas H., Morel J.B., Mhiri C., Vernhettes S., Casacuberta J.M., (1997), The expression of the tobacco Tnt1 retrotransposon is linked to plant defense responses, *Genetica*, **100**, 241-252.
- Grativol C., Hemerly A.S., Ferreira P.C.G., (2012), Genetic and epigenetic regulation of stress responses in natural plant populations, *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Gene Regulatory Mechanisms*, **1819**, 176-185.
- Haghighi M., Ramezani M.R., Rajaii N., (2019), Improving oxidative damage, photosynthesis traits, growth and flower dropping of pepper under high temperature stress by selenium, *Molecular Biology Reports*, 46, 497-503.
- Halliwell B., Gutteridge J., (1995), The definition and measurement of antioxidants in biological systems, *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, **18**, 125-126.
- Hartikainen H., (2005), Biogeochemistry of selenium and its impact on food chain quality and human health, *Journal* of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 18, 309-318.
- Hartikainen H., Xue T., Piironen V., (2000), Selenium as an anti-oxidant and pro-oxidant in ryegrass, *Plant and Soil*, **225**, 193-200.
- Hasanuzzaman M., Fujita M., (2011), Selenium pretreatment upregulates the antioxidant defense and methylglyoxal detoxification system and confers enhanced tolerance to drought stress in rapeseed

seedlings, *Biological Trace Element Research*, **143**, 1758-1776.

- Hasanuzzaman M., Hossain M.A., Fujita M., (2012), Exogenous selenium pretreatment protects rapeseed seedlings from cadmium-induced oxidative stress by upregulating antioxidant defense and methylglyoxal detoxification systems, *Biological Trace Element Research*, 149, 248-261.
- Hawrylak-Nowak B., (2013), Comparative effects of selenite and selenate on growth and selenium accumulation in lettuce plants under hydroponic conditions, *Plant Growth Regulation*, **70**, 149-157.
- Hirayama T., Shinozaki K., (2010), Research on plant abiotic stress responses in the post-genome era: past, present and future, *Plant Journal*, **61**, 1041-1052.
- Hirochika H., Otsuki H., (1995), Extrachromosomal circular forms of the tobacco retrotransposon Ttol, *Gene*, **165**, 229-232.
- Hoagland D.R., Arnon D.I., (1950), The water culture method for growing plants without soil, California agricultural experiment station, 347, On line at: https://sayurankitadotcom.files.wordpress.com/2016/0 4/the-water-culture-method-for-growing-plantswithout-soil.pdf.
- Hu K.L., Zhang L., Wang J.T., You Y., (2013), Influence of selenium on growth, lipid peroxidation and antioxidative enzyme activity in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) seedlings under salt stress, *Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae*, **82**, 193-197.
- Jaligot E., Beule T., Baurens F.C., Billotte N., Rival A., (2004), Search for methylation-sensitive amplification polymorphisms associated with the "mantled" variant phenotype in oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.), *Genome*, **47**, 224-228.
- Jiang C.Q., Zu C.L., Shen J., Shao F.W., Li T., (2015), Effects of selenium on the growth and photosynthetic characteristics of flue-cured tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.), *Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae*, 84, 71-77.
- Karan R., DeLeon T., Biradar H., Subudhi P.K., (2012), Salt stress induced variation in DNA methylation pattern and its influence on gene expression in contrasting rice genotypes, *Plos One*, **7**, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040203.
- Kul R., Esringü A., Dadasoglu E., Sahin Ü., Turan M., Örs S., Yildirim E., (2019), *Melatonin: Role in Increasing Plant Tolerance in Abiotic Stress Conditions*, In: *Abiotic and Biotic Stress in Plants*, De Oliveira A. (Ed.), IntechOpen, Rijeka, Croatia, 1-19, http://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.82590.
- Kumar M., Bijo A.J., Baghel R.S., Reddy C.R.K., Jha B., (2012), Selenium and spermine alleviate cadmium induced toxicity in the red seaweed Gracilaria dura by regulating antioxidants and DNA methylation, *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, **51**, 129-138.
- Kuznetsov V.V., Radyukina N., Shevyakova N., (2006), Polyamines and stress: biological role, metabolism, and regulation, *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 53, 583, https://doi.org/10.1134/S1021443706050025.
- Lan C.Y., Lin K.H., Huang W.D., Chen C.C., (2019), Protective effects of selenium on wheat seedlings under salt stress, *Agronomy-Basel*, 9, 272, https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9060272.
- Lu G.Y., Wu X.M., Chen B.Y., Gao G.Z., Xu K., (2007), Evaluation of genetic and epigenetic modification in rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) induced by salt stress, *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, **49**, 1599-1607.
- Makarevitch I., Stupar R.M., Iniguez A.L., Haun W.J., Barbazulk W.B., Kaeppler S.M., Springer N.M.,

(2007), Natural variation for Alleles under epigenetic control by the maize chromomethylase Zmet2, *Genetics*, **177**, 749-760.

- McGuire S., (2015), FAO, IFAD, and WFP. The State of Food insecurity in the World 2015: Meeting the 2015 International Hunger Targets: Taking Stock of Uneven Progress. Rome: FAO, 2015, Advances in Nutrition, 6, 623-624, https://doi.org/10.3945/an.115.009936.
- Mhiri C., De Wit P.J.G.M., Grandbastien M.A., (1999), Activation of the promoter of the Tnt1 retrotransposon in tomato after inoculation with the fungal pathogen *Cladosporium fulvum*, *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, **12**, 592-603.
- Mroczek-Zdyrska M., Wojcik M., (2012), The influence of selenium on root growth and oxidative stress induced by lead in *Vicia faba* L. minor, *Plants Biological Trace Element Research*, **147**, 320-328.
- Muñoz A.H.S., Wrobel K., Corona J.F.G., Wrobel K., (2007), The protective effect of selenium inorganic forms against cadmium and silver toxicity in mycelia of Pleurotus ostreatus, *Mycological Research*, **111**, 626-632.
- Nawaz F., Ashraf M.Y., Ahmad R., Waraich E.A., (2013), Selenium (Se) seed priming induced growth and biochemical changes in wheat under water deficit conditions, *Biological Trace Element Research*, **151**, 284-293.
- Nawaz F., Ashraf M.Y., Ahmad R., Waraich E.A., Shabbir R.N., (2014), Selenium (Se) regulates seedling growth in wheat under drought stress, *Advances in Chemistry*, **2014**, Article ID 143567, https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/143567.
- Ndehedehe C.E., Agutu N.O., Okwuashi O., (2018), Is terrestrial water storage a useful indicator in assessing the impacts of climate variability on crop yield in semiarid ecosystems, *Ecological Indicators*, **88**, 51-62.
- Ohlendorf H.M., (1989), Bioaccumulation and effects of selenium in wildlife 1, Selenium in Agriculture and the Environment, 23, 133-177.
- Pandey C., Gupta M., (2015), Selenium and auxin mitigates arsenic stress in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) by combining the role of stress indicators, modulators and genotoxicity assa, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 287, 384-391.
- Petersen R., (2014), *Molecular genetic causes of columnar* growth in apple (Malus x domestica), PhD Thesis, Universitätsbibliothek Mainz, Germany.
- Picault N., Chaparro C., Piegu B., Stenger W., Formey D., Llauro C., Descombin J., Sabot F., Lasserre E., Meynard D., Guiderdoni E., Panaud O., (2009), Identification of an active LTR retrotransposon in rice, *The Plant Journal*, **58**, 754-765.
- Pouteau S., Huttner E., Grandbastien M.A., Caboche M., (1991), Specific expression of the tobacco tnt1 retrotransposon in protoplasts, *Embo Journal*, **10**, 1911-1918.
- Proietti P., Nasini L., Del Buono D., D'Amato R., Tedeschini E., Businelli D., (2013), Selenium protects olive (*Olea europaea* L.) from drought stress, *Scientia Horticulturae*, **164**, 165-171.
- Qing X., Zhao X., Hu C., Wang P., Zhang Y., Zhang X., Qu C., (2015), Selenium alleviates chromium toxicity by preventing oxidative stress in cabbage (*Brassica* campestris L. ssp. Pekinensis) leaves, *Ecotoxicology* and Environmental Safety, **114**, 179-189.
- Ríos J., Blasco B., Cervilla L., Rosales M., Sanchez-Rodriguez E., Romero L., Ruiz J., (2009), Production and detoxification of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in lettuce plants exposed to selenium, *Annals of Applied Biology*, **154**, 107-116.
- Sabot F., Schulman A.H., (2006), Parasitism and the

retrotransposon life cycle in plants: A hitchhiker's guide to the genome, *Heredity*, **97**, 381-388.

- Salazar M., Gonzalez E., Casaretto J.A., Casacuberta J.M., Ruiz-Lara S., (2007), The promoter of the TLC1.1 retrotransposon from Solanum chilense is activated by multiple stress-related signaling molecules, *Plant Cell Reports*, 26, 1861-1868.
- Shahid M.A., Balal R.M., Khan N., Zotarelli L., Liu G.D., Sarkhosh A., Garcia-Sanchez F., (2019), Selenium impedes cadmium and arsenic toxicity in potato by modulating carbohydrate and nitrogen metabolism, *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, **180**, 588-599.
- Shaki F., Maboud H.E., Niknam V., (2017), Central role of salicylic acid in resistance of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) against salinity, *Journal of Plant Interactions*, **12**, 414-420.
- Shekari L., Aroiee H., Mirshekari A., Nemati H., (2019), Protective role of selenium on cucumber (*Cucumis* sativus L.) exposed to cadmium and lead stress during reproductive stage role of selenium on heavy metals stress, Journal of Plant Nutrition, 42, 529-542.
- Si Y., Zhang C., Meng S., Dane F., (2009), Gene expression changes in response to drought stress in *Citrullus* colocynthis, Plant Cell Reports, 28, 997-1009.
- Sigmaz B., Agar G., Arslan E., Aydin M., Taspinar M.S., (2015), The role of putrescine against the long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposon polymorphisms induced by salinity stress in *Triticum aestivum*, *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, **37**, 251, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-015-2002-9.
- Sugimoto K., Takeda S., Hirochika H., (2000), MYB-related transcription factor NtMYB2 induced by wounding and elicitors is a regulator of the tobacco retrotransposon Tto1 and defense-related genes, *Plant Cell*, **12**, 2511-2527.
- Takeda S., Sugimoto K., Otsuki H., Hirochika H., (1999), A 13-bp cis-regulatory element in the LTR promoter of the tobacco retrotransposon Tto1 is involved in responsiveness to tissue culture, wounding, methyl jasmonate and fungal elicitors, *The Plant Journal*, 18, 383-393.
- Takiguchi M., Achanzar W.E., Qu W., Li G.Y., Waalkes M.P., (2003), Effects of cadmium on DNA-(Cytosine-5) methyltransferase activity and DNA methylation status during cadmium-induced cellular transformation, *Experimental Cell Research*, 286, 355-365.
- Tan M.P., (2010), Analysis of DNA methylation of maize in response to osmotic and salt stress based on methylation-sensitive amplified polymorphism, *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 48, 21-26.
- Taspinar M.S., Agar G., Yildirim N., Sunar S., Aksakal O., Bozari S., (2009), Evaluation of selenium effect on cadmium genotoxicity in Vicia faba using RAPD, *Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment*, 7, 857-860.

- Terry N., Zayed A., De Souza M., Tarun A., (2000), Selenium in higher plants, *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, **51**, 401-432.
- Theodorakis C.W., Lee K.L., Adams S.M., Law C.B., (2006), Evidence of altered gene flow, mutation rate, and genetic diversity in redbreast sunfish from a pulpmill-contaminated river, *Environmental Science and Technology*, 40, 377-386.
- Tripathy B.C., Oelmüller R., (2012), Reactive oxygen species generation and signaling in plants, *Plant Signaling and Behavior*, **12**, 1621-1633.
- Tuteja N., (2007), Abscisic acid and abiotic stress signaling, *Plant Signaling and Behavior*, 2, 135-138.
- Wan Y.A., Wang K., Liu Z., Yu Y., Wang Q., Li H.F., (2019), Effect of selenium on the subcellular distribution of cadmium and oxidative stress induced by cadmium in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26, 16220-16228.
- Wang C.Q., (2011), Water-stress mitigation by selenium in Trifolium repens L., Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science, 174, 276-282.
- Wessler S.R., Bureau T.E., White S.E., (1995), Ltrretrotransposons and mites - important players in the evolution of plant genomes, *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, **5**, 814-821.
- Wilber C.G., (1980), Toxicology of selenium: A review, *Clinical Toxicology*, **17**, 171-230.
- Xu M.L., Li X.Q., Korban S.S., (2000), AFLP-based detection of DNA methylation, *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*, 18, 361-368.
- Yao X., Chu J., Liang L., Geng W., Li J., Hou G., (2012), Selenium improves recovery of wheat seedlings at rewatering after drought stress, *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology*, **59**, 701-707.
- Yao X.Q., Chu J.Z., Wang G.Y., (2009), Effects of selenium on wheat seedlings under drought stress biological, *Trace Element Research*, **130**, 283-290.
- Yigider E., Taspinar M.S., Sigmaz B., Aydin M., Agar G., (2016), Humic acids protective activity against manganese induced LTR (long terminal repeat) retrotransposon polymorphism and genomic instability effects in *Zea mays*, *Plant Gene*, 6, 13-17.
- Zhang M., Tang S.H., Huang X., Zhang F.B., Pang Y.W., Huang Q.Y., Yi Q., (2014), Selenium uptake, dynamic changes in selenium content and its influence on photosynthesis and chlorophyll fluorescence in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 107, 39-45.
- Zhao Y., Hu C., Wang X., Qing X., Wang P., Zhang Y., Zhao X., (2019), Selenium alleviated chromium stress in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica campestris* L. ssp. Pekinensis) by regulating root morphology and metal element uptake, *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, **173**, 314-321.
- Zhu J.K., (2016), Abiotic stress signaling and responses in plants, *Cell*, **167**, 313-324.